Readings for Class of November 10, 2016

 Since I am getting this to you so late (computer problems, again and a funeral and all that entails on Friday), so I am only assigning you a single reading for this week. It is an important one, though, because this piece set off a wildfire about how we conduct research and the quality of this research that is still blazing in the literature and in Twitter and the dialog has gotten more than a little hot and unscholarly. So, let’s start with what happened and then discuss whether the criticism in this article is valid or not. Read carefully! Enjoy!
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