

Topology Homework #2 - Solution Key

Pb. 1: (a) $X - \text{int}(A)$ is a closed set (since $\text{int}(A)$ is open)
 and $X - \text{int}(A) \supseteq X - A$ (since $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A$)

Thus $X - \text{int}(A) \supseteq \overline{X - A}$ as $\overline{X - A}$ is the smallest closed set containing $X - A$.

$\left(\begin{array}{l} X - (\overline{X - A}) \text{ is an open set (since } \overline{X - A} \text{ is closed)} \\ X - (\overline{X - A}) \subseteq A, \text{ since } X - A \subseteq \overline{X - A} \text{ so } X - (\overline{X - A}) \subseteq X - (X - A) = A \end{array} \right)$

Thus $X - (\overline{X - A}) \subseteq \text{int}(A)$, as $\text{int}(A)$ is the largest open set contained in A .

so $X - \text{int}(A) \supseteq \overline{X - A}$.

We proved $\overline{X - A} = X - \text{int}(A)$.

(b) Replace A by $X - A$ in (a). We get

$$\overline{X - (X - A)} = X - \text{int}(X - A), \text{ so } \overline{\overline{A}} = X - \text{int}(X - A)$$

$$\text{so } X - \overline{A} = \text{int}(X - A)$$

Certainly, other perfectly good solutions are possible for this problem.

Pb 2. By contradiction, suppose there exist

$U \neq \emptyset$ open, $U \subseteq \bar{A}$.

Then $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (since U is a neighborhood of points from \bar{A}).

Fix a point $a \in U \cap A$.

Since A is relatively discrete, $\exists V$ open s.t. $A \cap V = \{a\}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume $V \subseteq U \subseteq \bar{A}$, as

otherwise we replace V by $U \cap V$ (note that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, as $a \in U \cap V$)

Since X contains no isolated points $V \neq \{a\}$, so fix another point $b \in V \subseteq \bar{A}$, $b \neq a$.

Since X is Hausdorff, \exists an open set W , ~~which we can assume~~
~~W~~ so that $b \in W \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{A}$ and $a \notin W$.

Since $b \in W$ open
and $b \in \bar{A}$ \Rightarrow there is a point $\tilde{a} \in W \cap A \neq \emptyset$

Note that $\tilde{a} \neq a$ since $a \notin W$

But $W \subseteq V$ so it follows that $\tilde{a} \in A \cap V$

This contradicts $A \cap V = \{a\}$

Note 1: You don't really use that (X, d) is a metric space
but just that X is Hausdorff (which every metric space is)

In fact, I think you only need X to be T_1 -space

Note 2: Some of you had a good argument, but assuming that
 A is closed. It is not true that a relatively discrete set
is closed. Example: Let $A = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

Then A is relatively discrete in \mathbb{R} , but is not closed
as $0 \in \bar{A}$ but $0 \notin A$

Pb. 3. Parts (a) and (b) are just applications of the definitions and DeMorgan's laws.

For (a), if $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$, with U_n open & neat^* ,

De Morgan

$$\text{then } X - A = X - \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \leq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (X - U_n)$$

But $X - U_n$ is closed for any neat*, thus

$X - A$ is a countable union of closed sets, so $X - A$ is an F_σ set.

Part (b) is completely similar.

(c) Assume A is an F_σ -set. Then A can be written as

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \text{ with } F_n \text{ closed, neat}^*.$$

$$\text{Let } C_1 = F_1, C_2 = F_1 \cup F_2, \dots, C_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^k F_n, \dots$$

All sets C_k are closed, as finite unions of closed sets, and clearly $C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq C_k \subseteq C_{k+1} \subseteq \dots$

$$\text{It is also obvious that } \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = A$$

(d) Given a set A , we proved that $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$f(x) = d(x, A)$ is a continuous function.

Define, ~~for~~ for neat*, $U_n = \{x \mid \underset{x}{\lim} d(x, A) < \frac{1}{n}\}$

As $U_n = f^{-1}((-\infty, \frac{1}{n}))$, U_n is open, since f is continuous

$$\text{Moreover } \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{x \mid \forall n \quad d(x, A) < \frac{1}{n}\} = \{x \mid d(x, A) = 0\}$$

But we proved in class that $\{x \mid d(x, A) = 0\} = \bar{A}$

or $\exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}, \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in \bar{A}, d(x, A) < \epsilon$. Moreover $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$, so A is an F_σ set.

Pb 4. a) Fix $x \in X$. We'll show that $X - \{x\}$ is open.

Let $y \in X - \{x\}$. By the Hausdorff assumption,

$\exists U, V$ open so that $y \in U$, $x \notin U$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

In particular $y \in U$ and $x \notin U$, thus $y \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R} - \{x\}$.

This proves that $\mathbb{R} - \{x\}$ is open, so $\{x\} = \mathbb{R} - (\mathbb{R} - \{x\})$ is closed.

Note: You don't really need the full strength of the Hausdorff assumption, but just the fact that for every two points x, y there exist open sets U, V so that $x \in U$, $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$, $x \notin V$.

This is the (weaker than Hausdorff) T_1 -separation axiom. You can show that the T_1 -separation axiom is actually equivalent to the requirement that every singleton $\{x\}$ is a closed set.

b) If U, V are open sets in the finite complement topology on \mathbb{R} , using DeMorgan,

$$\mathbb{R} - (U \cap V) = (\mathbb{R} - U) \cup (\mathbb{R} - V)$$

as both $(\mathbb{R} - U)$ and $(\mathbb{R} - V)$ are finite.

As \mathbb{R} is infinite, it follows that $U \cap V$ must be infinite, in particular, $U \cap V$ must be non-empty.

Thus, we proved that the intersection of any two open sets in the finite complement topology on \mathbb{R} is non-empty.

This completes the Hausdorff and Hausdorff

Pb. 4(b) - continuation

As closed sets in the finite complement topology are all finite sets, it is obvious that $\{x\}$ is closed.

Continuing the previous note,

$(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{finite compl.}})$ is an example of a T_1 -space which is not a T_2 -space (i.e. not Hausdorff)

Pb. 4(c). Let $\{x_n\}_n$ so that $x_n \neq x_m \forall n \neq m$ and let $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let U open set in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{finite compl.}}$ with $y \in U$.

Then $\mathbb{N} \setminus U$ is a finite set.

Since $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $f(n) = x_n$ is a one-to-one function
(by the assumption $x_n \neq x_m$ if $n \neq m$)

and since $\mathbb{N} \setminus U$ is finite, it follows that

$f^{-1}(\mathbb{N} \setminus U) = \{n \mid x_n = f(n) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus U\}$ is also a finite set

Let $N = \max \{n \mid x_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus U\}$

Then $\forall n \geq N+1$ we have $x_n \in U$. Since U was arbitrary open abld. of y , this shows that $\{x_n\}_n \rightarrow y$. Since y was arbitrary it follows that $\{x_n\}_n \rightarrow y$, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Without the assumption $x_n \neq x_m \forall n \neq m$, the statement is no longer true.

Let $\{x_n\}_n = \{c\}_n$ be a constant sequence.

Then, we claim $\{x_n\}_n \rightarrow c$, but $\{x_n\}_n \not\rightarrow y$ if $y \neq c$

Pb 4(c) continuation

if U open, $c \in U$, obviously $\underset{\substack{u \\ c}}{\lim} x_n \in U$, $\forall n$
so $\{x_n\}_n \rightarrow c$

but if $y \neq c$, let $U = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{c\}$. Then $y \in U$
but $x_n \notin U \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

so $\underset{\substack{n \\ c}}{\lim} x_n \not\rightarrow y \neq c$.

4(d) If $(X, T_{\text{trivial}} = \{\emptyset, X\})$

then a sequence $\{x_n\}_n \in X$ converges to any limit $y \in X$.

Any neighborhood of y is X itself, so certainly $x_n \in X \forall n$.