Bilateral versus Multilateral Free Trade Agreements: A Welfare Analysis

Demet Yilmazkuday and Hakan Yilmazkuday

Review of International Economics

2014; RIE

Forthcoming

- Why do we observe bilateral rather than multilateral FTAs?
- Exploring the enforceability of different types of FTAs by
 - an infinitely repeated game framework
 - comparing minimum discount factors
 - globally welfare maximizing trade agreements
 - estimating the policy weights on
 - consumer surplus
 - producer surplus
 - tariff income
- Obstacles for having a multilateral FTA are found as
 - transportation costs
 - differences in country sizes
 - differences in comparative advantages

The Model

- Partial-equilibrium trade model of N countries and N goods.
- Linear demand and linear supply considering:
 - transportation costs
 - differences in country sizes
 - differences in comparative advantages
- Welfare function is given by:

$$W_{j} = \sum_{k} \left[WCS \int_{p_{jk}}^{A/B} D_{jk}(u) \, du + WPS \int_{-\alpha_{jk}/\beta}^{p_{jk}} X_{jk}(u) \, du \right]$$
$$+ WTI \sum_{k} t_{jk} M_{jk}(p_{jk})$$

where

- WCS is the weight on consumer surplus
- WPS is the weight on producer surplus
- WTI is the weight on tariff income.

Empirical Investigation

- Baier and Bergstrand (2004) have empirically found that likelihood of a bilateral FTA are economically and significantly higher:
 - the closer in distance are two trading partners
 - the more remote a natural pair is from the rest of the world (ROW)
 - the larger and more similar economically (i.e. real GDPs) are two trading partners
 - the greater the difference in comparative advantages
 - the less is the difference in comparative advantages of the member countries relative to that of the ROW.
- We calibrate our model by estimating WCS, WPS and WTI that are consistent with Baier and Bergstrand (2004).
 - WCS (the weight on consumer surplus) is estimated as between 0.01-0.07
 - WPS (the weight on producer surplus) is estimated as between 0.71 0.77
 - WTI (the weight on tariff income) is estimated as 0.22.

Conclusion

- On average across countries, the policy weight on producer surplus is much higher than weights on consumer surplus and tariff income
- Although a multilateral FTA is the first-best solution globally, bilateral FTAs are the agreements that are globally-sustainable-Pareto-optimal for a wider range of parameters, while a welfare maximizing multilateral FTA is globally-sustainable-Pareto-optimal only for very special cases (i.e., when we have very high minimum discount factors).
 - A multilateral FTA is hard to sustain due to self-enforcement issues
- Transportation costs, country size differences, and comparative advantage differences across countries all contribute to having bilateral rather than multilateral FTAs.
- Possible ways to increase the likelihood of a self-enforcing multilateral FTA are all based on reducing asymmetries across countries by
 - investing in transportation technologies
 - having underdeveloped countries to catch-up with developed countries through pushing for their economic stability
 - sharing technological improvements around the world, say, through patent agreements across countries, or through encouraging foreign direct investments from high-technology countries.