Thin elastic plates involving fractional rotational forces: semigroups regularity and stability

Louis Tebou

Florida International University Miami

Colloquium University of Memphis

March 18, 2022



Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

• The spectral fractional Laplacian

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity

• Plate with fractional rotational forces and fractional damping

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity
- Plate with fractional rotational forces and fractional damping
 - Model formulation

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity
- Plate with fractional rotational forces and fractional damping
 - Model formulation
 - Brief literature

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity

• Plate with fractional rotational forces and fractional damping

- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Regularity

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity

• Plate with fractional rotational forces and fractional damping

- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Regularity
- Stability

• Thermoelastic plate with fractional rotational forces

- The spectral fractional Laplacian
- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Gevrey regularity
- Lack of analyticity

• Plate with fractional rotational forces and fractional damping

- Model formulation
- Brief literature
- Regularity
- Stability
- Some comments

The spectral fractional Laplacian

Set $A = -\Delta$ with $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let (λ_n) be the sequence of eigenvalues of A, and (e_n) be the corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions, with $|e_n|_2 = 1$ for each n. It is known that (e_n) forms an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$, and an orthogonal basis in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Define A^{θ} by

$$\mathcal{A}^{ heta} u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{ heta}(u, e_n) e_n, \ \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{ heta}),$$

with $(u, e_n) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) e_n(x) dx$ and

$$D(A^{\theta}) = \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\Omega); \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2\theta} | (u, e_{n})|^{2} < \infty \right\}$$

Model formulation

Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Set $B_{\theta}u = u + (-\Delta)^{\theta}u$. Consider the thermoelastic plate equations

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{B}_{\theta} y_{tt} + \Delta^2 y + \alpha \Delta z = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ & z_t - \kappa \Delta z - \beta \Delta y_t = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ & y(0) = y^0 \in V, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 \in H_{\theta} = D(B_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad z(0) = z^0 \in H, \end{split}$$

with the boundary conditions:

(Hinged plate/Dirichlet temperature):

$$z = 0, y = 0, \Delta y = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma = \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

or, else

(Clamped plate/Dirichlet temperature):

$$z = 0, \quad y = 0, \quad \partial_{\nu} y = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma = \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

• Lagnese (1989): proved the exponential stability of the semigroup associated with a Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate with free boundary conditions, provided that extra boundary damping mechanisms are added to the system.

- Lagnese (1989): proved the exponential stability of the semigroup associated with a Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate with free boundary conditions, provided that extra boundary damping mechanisms are added to the system.
- Kim (1992): proved that the clamped Euler-Bermoulli plate is exponentially stable without any extra mechanical damping. This result is later extended to other boundary conditions by Liu-zheng (1995), Lasiecka-Avalos (1996, 1998),...

- Lagnese (1989): proved the exponential stability of the semigroup associated with a Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate with free boundary conditions, provided that extra boundary damping mechanisms are added to the system.
- Kim (1992): proved that the clamped Euler-Bermoulli plate is exponentially stable without any extra mechanical damping. This result is later extended to other boundary conditions by Liu-zheng (1995), Lasiecka-Avalos (1996, 1998),...

• Liu-Renardy (1995): proved the first analyticity result for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with clamped/hinged boundary conditions.

- Liu-Renardy (1995): proved the first analyticity result for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with clamped/hinged boundary conditions.
- Lasiecka-Triggiani (1998): proved several analyticity results for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with various boundary conditions, including the case of free boundary conditions.

- Liu-Renardy (1995): proved the first analyticity result for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with clamped/hinged boundary conditions.
- Lasiecka-Triggiani (1998): proved several analyticity results for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with various boundary conditions, including the case of free boundary conditions.
- Lasiecka-Triggiani (1998): proved lack of analyticity results for the semigroup associated with a Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate with various boundary conditions.

- Liu-Renardy (1995): proved the first analyticity result for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with clamped/hinged boundary conditions.
- Lasiecka-Triggiani (1998): proved several analyticity results for the semigroup associated with an Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate with various boundary conditions, including the case of free boundary conditions.
- Lasiecka-Triggiani (1998): proved lack of analyticity results for the semigroup associated with a Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate with various boundary conditions.

• Question: Given that the semigroup associated with the Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate ($\theta = 0$) is analytic, and the one associated with the Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate ($\theta = 1$) is not analytic, what can be said about the semigroup associated with the intermediate model $0 < \theta < 1$?

- Question: Given that the semigroup associated with the Euler-Bernoulli thermoelastic plate ($\theta = 0$) is analytic, and the one associated with the Kirchhoff thermoelastic plate ($\theta = 1$) is not analytic, what can be said about the semigroup associated with the intermediate model $0 < \theta < 1$?
- **Definition.** Let $t_0 \ge 0$ be a real number. A strongly continuous semigroup $T = (T(t))_{t\ge 0}$, defined on a Banach space *X*, is of Gevrey class s > 1 for $t > t_0$, if T(t) is infinitely differentiable for $t > t_0$, and for every compact $K \subset (t_0, \infty)$ and each $\mu > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\mu, K) > 0$ such that

 $||T^{(n)}(t)||_{L(X)} \le C\mu^n (n!)^s$, for all $t \in K$, n = 0, 1, 2...

Theorem 0. [Taylor's Thesis, UMN 1989]

Let $T = (T(t))_{t \ge 0}$ be a strongly continuous and bounded semigroup on a Hilbert space X. Suppose that the infinitesimal generator A of the semigroup T satisfies the following estimate, for some 0 < s < 1:

$$\limsup_{|\lambda|\to\infty} |\lambda|^{s} ||(i\lambda I - A)^{-1}||_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < \infty.$$

Then $T = (T(t))_{t \ge 0}$ is of Gevrey class δ for t > 0, for every $\delta > \frac{1}{s}$.

$$\begin{split} V_1 &= H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \quad H_\theta = D(B_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ W &= H_0^1(\Omega), \quad V_2 = H_0^2(\Omega), \quad H = L^2(\Omega) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V_1 &= H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega), \quad H_\theta = D(B_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ W &= H_0^1(\Omega), \quad V_2 = H_0^2(\Omega), \quad H = L^2(\Omega) \end{split}$$

For j = 1, 2, we introduce the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\theta,j} = V_j \times H_{\theta} \times H$ over the field of complex numbers, and we endow it with the norm given by (notice that the norm is the same in both cases):

$$||(u, v, w)||_{\theta}^{2} = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ |\Delta u|^{2} + |B_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}v|^{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}|w|^{2} \right\} dx.$$

Set
$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y_t \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $Z^0 = \begin{pmatrix} y^0 \\ y^1 \\ z^0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The System may be rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Z} - \mathcal{A}_{\theta} Z = 0 & \text{ in } (0, \infty), \\ Z(0) = Z^0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the unbounded operator matrix \mathcal{A}_{θ} is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{ heta} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & I & 0 \ -B_{ heta}^{-1}\Delta^2 & 0 & -lpha B_{ heta}^{-1}\Delta \ 0 & eta\Delta & \kappa\Delta \end{array}
ight)$$

(Hinged boundary conditions):

or, else (Clamped boundary conditions):

$$\begin{split} D(\mathcal{A}_{\theta}) &= \Big\{ (u, v, w) \in V_2 \times V_2 \times W : \ B_{\theta}^{-1} (\Delta^2 u + \alpha \Delta w) \in H_{\theta}, \\ &\beta \Delta v + \kappa \Delta w \in H \Big\} \\ &= \Big\{ (u, v, w) \in (H^{4-\theta}(\Omega) \cap V_2) \times V_2 \times V_1 \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 1: Gevrey regularity, KTW (DCDS-A 2020)

For every $\theta \in [0, 1]$, the linear operator \mathcal{A}_{θ} generates a strongly continuous-semigroup of contractions $(S_{\theta,j}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\theta,j}, j = 1, 2$. Furthermore, for j = 1, 2, and for every θ in (0, 1/2) the semigroup $(S_{\theta,j}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is of Gevrey class δ for every $\delta > \frac{2-\theta}{2-4\theta}$, as there exists a positive constant *C* such that we have the resolvent estimate:

$$\lambda|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}||(i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_{\theta})^{-1}||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\theta,j})} \leq C, \quad \forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (2)

Thus, the semigroup $(S_{\theta,j}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is infinitely differentiable on $\mathcal{H}_{\theta,j}$ for all t > 0.

Gevrey regularity: Proof Sketch

We rely on sufficient condition involving the resolvent estimate along the imaginary axis provided by Taylor in his Thesis (UMN, 1989). Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ with |b| > 1, $U = (f, g, h) \in \mathcal{H}_{\theta,j}$, and let $Z = (u, v, w) \in D(\mathcal{A}_{\theta})$ such that

$$(\textit{ib} - \mathcal{A}_{ heta})Z = U.$$

It suffices to prove:

$$|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}||Z||_{ heta}\leq C_0||U||_{ heta},\quad orall b\in\mathbb{R} ext{ with } |b|>1.$$

1) Rewrite $(ib - A_{\theta})Z = U$ as

$$\begin{cases} ibu - v = f, \\ ibB_{\theta}v + \Delta^{2}u + \alpha\Delta w = B_{\theta}g, \\ ibw - \kappa\Delta w - \beta\Delta v = h. \end{cases}$$

2) Decompose the heat component as: $w = w_1 + w_2$, with

$$ibw_1 - \Delta w_1 = h$$
, $ibw_2 = \kappa \Delta w + \beta v - \Delta w_1$

One checks

$$|b||w_1|_2 + |b|^{rac{1}{2}}||w_1||_W + |\Delta w_1|_2 \leq C_0||U||_{ heta}.$$

and

 $|b|||w_2||_{H^{-2}(\Omega)} \leq C_0(|w|_2 + |v|_2 + |w_1|_2) \leq C_0(||Z||_{\theta} + |b|^{-1}||U||_{\theta}).$

One checks

$$|b||w_1|_2 + |b|^{rac{1}{2}}||w_1||_W + |\Delta w_1|_2 \leq C_0||U||_{ heta}.$$

and

 $|b|||w_2||_{H^{-2}(\Omega)} \leq C_0(|w|_2 + |v|_2 + |w_1|_2) \leq C_0(||Z||_{\theta} + |b|^{-1}||U||_{\theta}).$

Lions' interpolation

$$|b||w_2|_2 \leq C_0|b|^{rac{2}{3}}||bw_2||^{rac{1}{3}}_{H^{-2}(\Omega)}||w_2||^{rac{2}{3}}_{H^1(\Omega)}||w_2||^{rac{2}{3}}_{H^1(\Omega)}||w_2||$$

leads to

$$|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}|w|_2\leq arepsilon|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}||Z||_ heta+C_arepsilon||U||_ heta,\quad orallarepsilon>0.$$

3) One decomposes the velocity component as $v = v_1 + v_2$ with

$$ibB_{\theta}v_1 - \Delta v_1 = B_{\theta}g, \quad ibB_{\theta}v_2 = -\Delta^2 u - \alpha \Delta w - \Delta v_1.$$

Proceeding as in the case of the heat component, one checks

$$|b||B_{ heta}^{rac{1}{2}}v_1|_2+|b|^{rac{1}{2}}||v_1||_W\leq C_0||U||_{ heta}$$

and

 $|b|||v_2||_{H^{2\theta-2}(\Omega)} \leq C_0(|\Delta u|_2 + |w|_2 + |v_1|_2) \leq C_0(||Z||_{\theta} + |b|^{-1}||U||_{\theta}).$

Using interpolation inequalities, one then derives

$$|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}|B_ heta^{rac{1}{2}}v_2|_2\leq arepsilon|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}||Z||_ heta+C_arepsilon||U||_ heta,\quad orallarepsilon>0,$$

and

Main ideas

Using interpolation inequalities, one then derives

$$|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}|B_{ heta}^{rac{1}{2}}v_2|_2\leq arepsilon|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}||Z||_{ heta}+C_arepsilon||U||_{ heta}, \quad orallarepsilon>0,$$

and

$$|b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}|B_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}v|_2 \leq \varepsilon |b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}||Z||_{\theta} + C_{\varepsilon}||U||_{\theta}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Main ideas

Using interpolation inequalities, one then derives

$$|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}|B_ heta^{rac{1}{2}}v_2|_2\leq arepsilon|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}||Z||_ heta+C_arepsilon||U||_ heta,\quad orallarepsilon>0,$$

and

$$|b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}|B_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}v|_2 \leq \varepsilon |b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}||Z||_{\theta} + C_{\varepsilon}||U||_{\theta}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

4) Finally, using the equation $iB_{\theta}v + \Delta^2 u + \alpha \Delta w = B_{\theta}g$, one shows

$$|\boldsymbol{b}|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}|\Delta \boldsymbol{u}|_2 \leq \varepsilon |\boldsymbol{b}|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}||\boldsymbol{Z}||_{\theta} + C_{\varepsilon}||\boldsymbol{U}||_{\theta}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Main ideas

Using interpolation inequalities, one then derives

$$|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}|B_ heta^{rac{1}{2}}v_2|_2\leq arepsilon|b|^{rac{2-4 heta}{2- heta}}||Z||_ heta+C_arepsilon||U||_ heta,\quad orallarepsilon>0,$$

and

$$|b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}|B_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}}v|_{2} \leq \varepsilon |b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}||Z||_{\theta} + C_{\varepsilon}||U||_{\theta}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

4) Finally, using the equation $iB_{\theta}v + \Delta^2 u + \alpha \Delta w = B_{\theta}g$, one shows

$$|b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}|\Delta u|_2 \leq \varepsilon |b|^{\frac{2-4\theta}{2-\theta}}||Z||_{\theta} + C_{\varepsilon}||U||_{\theta}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

The claimed estimate then follows.

Theorem 2: Lack of Analyticity

Assume that the hinged boundary conditions hold. For every $\theta \in [0, 1]$, the strongly continuous semigroup $(S_{\theta,1}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is exponentially stable on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\theta,1}$. More precisely, there exist positive constants M and ω such that:

$$||S_{\theta,1}(t)||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\theta,1})} \leq M \exp(-\omega t).$$

However, the semigroup $(S_{\theta,1}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is not analytic; more precisely, for every θ in (0, 1], and every *r* in $((2 - 2\theta)/(2 - \theta), 1]$, we have :

$$\limsup_{|\lambda|\to\infty} |\lambda|^r ||(i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_{\theta})^{-1}||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\theta,1})} = \infty.$$

Proof of lack of analyticity

Let $\theta \in (0, 1]$. Let $r \in ((2 - 2\theta)/(2 - \theta), 1]$. We are going to show that there exist a sequence of positive real numbers $(b_n)_{n\geq 1}$, and for each *n*, an element $Z_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_{\theta})$ such that:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n=\infty, \quad ||Z_n||_{\theta}=1, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty}b_n^{-r}||(ib_n-\mathcal{A}_{\theta})Z_n||_{\theta}=0.$$

Indeed, if we have sequences b_n and Z_n as above, then we set

$$V_n = b_n^{-r}(ib_n - \mathcal{A}_{\theta})Z_n, \qquad U_n = \frac{V_n}{||V_n||_{\theta}}.$$

Therefore $||U_n||_{\theta} = 1$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n^r ||(ib_n - \mathcal{A}_\theta)^{-1} U_n||_\theta = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{||V_n||_\theta} = \infty,$$

which would establish the claimed result, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 2.

For each $n \ge 1$, we introduce the eigenfunction e_n , with $|e_n|_2 = 1$ and:

$$egin{cases} -\Delta m{e}_n = \omega_n m{e}_n ext{ in } \Omega \ m{e}_n = m{0} ext{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is a well-known fact that (ω_n) is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega_n = \infty$. We seek Z_n in the form $Z_n = (a_n e_n, ib_n a_n e_n, c_n e_n)$, with b_n and the complex numbers a_n and c_n chosen such that Z_n fulfills the desired conditions.

Let γ and μ be two nonzero real numbers satisfying:

$$2(\gamma^2 + \mu^2) = 1.$$

For some large enough N_{θ} , to be specified later, and for $n \ge N_{\theta}$, set:

$$b_n = \frac{\omega_n}{\sqrt{1 + \omega_n^{\theta}}}, \quad \omega_n a_n = \mu_n + i\gamma_n, \quad c_n = -\frac{i\beta\omega_n a_n}{i + \kappa\sqrt{1 + \omega_n^{\theta}}}$$

Now, we shall specify what μ_n and γ_n are. To this end, introduce the sequence of real numbers

$$r_n = -\frac{\alpha\beta}{4(2(1+\kappa^2(1+\omega_n^\theta))+\alpha\beta)}.$$

Now, r_n goes to zero as n goes to infinity, for any positive θ , and γ and μ are nonzero, there exists a positive integer M_{θ} such that both numbers $\gamma^2 + r_n$ and $\mu^2 + r_n$ are positive for each $n \ge M_{\theta}$; we choose $N_{\theta} = M_{\theta}$, and set

$$\mu_n = \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 + r_n}, \quad \gamma_n = \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 + r_n}.$$

Now, r_n goes to zero as n goes to infinity, for any positive θ , and γ and μ are nonzero, there exists a positive integer M_{θ} such that both numbers $\gamma^2 + r_n$ and $\mu^2 + r_n$ are positive for each $n \ge M_{\theta}$; we choose $N_{\theta} = M_{\theta}$, and set

$$\mu_n = \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 + r_n}, \quad \gamma_n = \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 + r_n}.$$

With that choice, we have for every $n \ge N_{\theta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_n||_{\theta}^2 &= \omega_n^2 |a_n|^2 + b_n^2 (1 + \omega_n^{\theta}) |a_n|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} |c_n|^2 \\ &= 2(\mu_n^2 + \nu_n^2) + \frac{\alpha\beta(\mu_n^2 + \gamma_n^2)}{1 + \kappa^2(1 + \omega_n^{\theta})} \\ &= 2(\mu^2 + \gamma^2) + 4r_n + \frac{\alpha\beta(\mu^2 + \gamma^2 + 2r_n)}{1 + \kappa^2(1 + \omega_n^{\theta})} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ib_n - \mathcal{A}_{\theta})Z_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ([(\omega_n^2 - b_n^2(1 + \omega_n^{\theta}))a_n - \alpha\omega_n c_n] B_{\theta}^{-1} e_n \\ i\beta b_n \omega_n a_n e_n + (ib_n + \kappa\omega_n)c_n e_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\alpha\omega_n c_n B_{\theta}^{-1} e_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{-2r} ||(ib_n - \mathcal{A}_\mu) Z_n||_{\theta}^2 \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha^2 b_n^{-2r} \omega_n^2 |c_n|^2 |B_{\theta}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_n|_2^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha^2 b_n^{-2r} \omega_n^2 |c_n|^2}{1 + \omega_n^{\theta}} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2 b_n^{-2r} \omega_n^2 (\mu_n^2 + \gamma_n^2)}{(1 + \kappa^2 (1 + \omega_n^{\theta}))(1 + \omega_n^{\theta})} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2 \omega_n^{-2r + \theta r} \omega_n^{2-2\theta}}{2\kappa^2} \\ &= 0, \end{split}$$

provided that

$$2-2 heta-r(2- heta)<0, ext{ or } r>rac{2-2 heta}{2- heta}.$$

$$\begin{cases} B_{\theta} y_{tt} + a\Delta^2 y + b(-\Delta)^{\delta} y_t = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\ y(0) = y^0 \in V, \quad y_t(0) = y^1 \in H_{\theta} \end{cases}$$

where *a* and *b* are positive constants, θ and δ are nonnegative constants with

 $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $\delta \in [0, 2]$.

The operator B_{θ} is given by $B_{\theta}u = u + (-\Delta)^{\theta}u$, and $H_{\theta} = D(B_{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}})$. The boundary conditions are:

(Hinged plate): y = 0, $\Delta y = 0$ on $\Sigma = \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty)$, or, else

(Clamped plate): y = 0, $\partial_{\nu} y = 0$ on $\Sigma = \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty)$.

Brief literature

Responding to two conjectures of Chen and Russell (1982), Chen and Triggiani considered the following abstract evolution system

$$y_{tt} + Ay + By_t = 0$$
 in $(0, \infty)$

where A and B are unbounded operators of some Hilbert space H with

 $\alpha_1 A^{\mu} \leq B \leq \alpha_2 A^{\mu}$, for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$,

They proved that the underlying semigroup is

• analytic for $\frac{1}{2} \le \mu \le 1$, but not analytic for $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$, though differentiable, (1989)

• of Gevrey class δ for all $\delta > \frac{1}{2\mu}$ for $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$, (1990) In fact, the Gevrey class result generalizes the work of Taylor (1989), where the author discusses Gevrey semigroups, and illustrates his work with several examples including the case $B = 2\rho A^{\mu}$ for some positive constant ρ .

Analyticity

Theorem 3, T(ZAMP 2021)

For every $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $\delta \in [0, 2]$ the linear operator $\mathcal{A}_{\theta, \delta, j}$, (j = 1, 2), associated with the plate equation, generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions $(S_{\theta, \delta, j}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\theta, j}$, j = 1, 2.

Furthermore, for j = 1, 2, we have: For every θ in [0, 1] and every δ in $[1 + (\theta/2), 2]$, the semigroup $(S_{\theta, \delta, j}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ is analytic, as there exists a positive constant *C* such that the following resolvent estimate holds:

$$|\lambda| \left\| (i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_{ heta,\delta,j})^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{ heta,j})} \leq \mathcal{C}, \quad \forall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Gevrey regularity

Theorem 4

For every θ in [0, 1] and every δ in $(\theta, 1 + (\theta/2))$, the semigroup $(S_{\theta,\delta,j}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is of Gevrey class α for every $\alpha > \frac{2-\theta}{2(\delta-\theta)}$, as there exists a positive constant *C* such that we have the resolvent estimate:

$$|\lambda|^{rac{2(\delta- heta)}{2- heta}} \left\| (i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_{ heta,\delta,j})^{-1}
ight\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{ heta,j})} \leq \mathcal{C}, \quad orall \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 5

Assume that the hinged boundary conditions hold. Then for every $\theta \in (0, 1]$, and every δ in $(\theta, 1 + (\theta/2))$, the semigroup $(S_{\theta, \delta, 1}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ is not analytic on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\theta, 1}$. More precisely, for every *r* in $(2(\delta - \theta)/(2 - \theta), 1]$, we have :

$$\limsup_{|\lambda|\to\infty} |\lambda|^r \left\| (i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_{\theta,\delta,1})^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\theta,1})} = \infty.$$

Theorem 6

For every $\theta \in [0, 1]$, and every δ in $[\theta, 2]$, the strongly continuous semigroup $(S_{\theta, \delta, j}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ is exponentially stable. More precisely, there exist positive constants K and ξ such that:

 $||S_{\theta,\delta,j}(t)||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\theta,j})} \leq K \exp(-\xi t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$

Proof method: frequency method based on Huang (1985) or Pruss (1984) exponential stability criterion.

Polynomial stability

Theorem 7

For every $\theta \in (0, 1]$, and every δ in $[0, \theta)$, the semigroup $(S_{\theta, \delta, j}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ is not exponentially stable. However, the semigroup is polynomially stable, so that there exists a positive constant K_0 with:

$$||S_{ heta,\delta,j}(t)Z^0||_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{ heta,1})} \leq rac{\mathcal{K}_0||Z^0||_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_{ heta,\delta,j})}}{(1+t)^{rac{2- heta}{2(heta-\delta)}}}, \quad orall Z^0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_{ heta,\delta,j}), \quad orall \, t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, in the hinged boundary conditions case, the polynomial decay rate is optimal. More precisely, for every *r* in $[0, 2(\theta - \delta)/(2 - \theta))$, we have :

$$\limsup_{|\lambda|\to\infty} |\lambda|^{-r} \left\| (i\lambda I - \mathcal{A}_{\theta,\delta,1})^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\theta,1})} = \infty.$$

Proof method: frequency method based on Borichev-Tomilov (2010)

Some comments

In the first part of this talk, we are able to prove that the semigroup corresponding to the thermoelastic plate model with rotational forces involving the spectral fractional Laplacian is of Gevrey class $\delta > (2 - \theta)/(2 - 4\theta)$ for all θ in (0, 1/2); this is also valid for the hinged or clamped plate. The case θ in [1/2, 1) remains open, though Theorem 2 shows that the semigroup fails to be analytic for all θ in (0, 1] in the case of a hinged plate. Our work shows that analyticity occurs only for the Euler-Bernoulli model, corresponding to $\theta = 0$, at least for hinged boundary conditions.

Some comments

Though Theorem 2 shows exponential stability of the semigroup for hinged boundary conditions for the plate and all values of $\theta \in [0, 1]$, in the case of clamped boundary conditions, we were able to get the exponential stability of the semigroup only for $\theta \in [0, 1/2]$, by using our resolvent estimate; the case $\theta \in (1/2, 1]$ remains open. Also, the regularity issue for the most general case where all operators are fractional remains open; see Liu-Racke (2020) for the stability issue in the Euler-Bernoulli case, with the fractional operators appearing in the coupling.

Some comments

In the second part of the talk, we state the following results: the underlying semigroup is:

- analytic for all θ in [0, 1] and δ in [(2 + θ)/2, 2],
- 2 not analytic for all θ in [0, 1] and δ in $(\theta, (2 + \theta)/2)$,
- of Gevrey class $\alpha > (2 \theta)/2(\delta \theta)$ for all θ in [0, 1] and $\theta < \delta < (2 + \theta)/2$.

We also prove that for each admissible value of θ , the semigroup is exponentially stable for $\delta \ge \theta$, and only polynomially stable, with rate $O(t^{-\frac{2-\theta}{2(\theta-\delta)}})$, for $\delta < \theta$, when $\theta > 0$. In particular, in the hinged plate case, we show that the polynomial decay rate is optimal, and we also show that the resolvent estimates for both analyticity and Gevrey class regularity are sharp.

And if anyone thinks that he knows anything, he knows nothing yet as he ought to know.

THANKS!