Functional and structural aging of the speech sensorimotor neural system: functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence

Pascale Tremblay a,*, Anthony S. Dick b, Steven L. Small c

a Centre de Recherche de l’Institut Universitaire en Santé Mentale de Québec, Department of Rehabilitation, Université Laval, Québec City, Québec, Canada
b Department of Psychology, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA
c Department of Neurology, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 13 August 2012
Received in revised form 16 January 2013
Accepted 9 February 2013

Keywords:
Normal aging
Speech perception
Speech production
Brain reserve capacity
Surface-based cortical thickness
Gray matter volume
MRI
Language

ABSTRACT

The ability to perceive and produce speech undergoes important changes in late adulthood. The goal of the present study was to characterize functional and structural age-related differences in the cortical network that support speech perception and production, using magnetic resonance imaging, as well as the relationship between functional and structural age-related changes occurring in this network. We asked young and older adults to observe videos of a speaker producing single words (perception), and to observe and repeat the words produced (production). Results show a widespread bilateral network of brain activation for Perception and Production that was not correlated with age. In addition, several regions did show age-related change (auditory cortex, planum temporale, superior temporal sulcus, premotor cortices, SMA-proper). Examination of the relationship between brain signal and regional and global gray matter volume and cortical thickness revealed a complex set of relationships between structure and function, with some regions showing a relationship between structure and function and some not. The present results provide novel findings about the neurobiology of aging and verbal communication.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The ability to communicate verbally through language critically depends upon the ability to recognize and articulate speech sounds (i.e., phonemes and syllables). Like many other aspects of human behavior, these abilities are vulnerable to senescence, which may lead to communication difficulties (e.g., more difficult sentence comprehension or word recognition) and, ultimately, to a social participation that is less diverse, is more restricted to home settings and involves fewer social relationships (Law, 2002). As the proportion of the world’s population in the older ages continues to grow, the prevalence of disorders of speech, voice, and language increases. Because these disorders pose a major challenge to patients, families, and health care systems, particularly in this time of significant global population aging, there is increasing need for reliable neurobiological data about expected (normal) age-related changes. In this article we focus on the relation between functional and structural changes that accompany aging, and whether and how these changes affect behavior.

At the behavioral level, numerous age-related changes have been documented in both speech perception and production. In terms of speech perception, which refers to the extraordinary ability of the human brain to recognize the phonemes (the smallest unit in a language, such as /p/) and syllables that form a speaker’s language and to parse the continuous speech stream, the most common change is an age-related loss of auditory sensitivity to high-frequency sounds, known as presbycusis, which affects approximately 20% to 40% of adults over 65 years of age (Gates Mills, 2005; Ries, 1994). Presbycusis reduces the ability to recognize speech sounds and, consequently, to comprehend words and sentences; it mainly affects consonants with energy in the high-frequency range (Nilsson et al., 1994; Plomp Mimpen, 1979). In addition to peripheral hearing loss, the ability to perceive speech in noisy environments also declines with age (Cooper Gates, 1991; Frisina & Frisina, 1997; Plath, 1991; Working Group on Speech Understanding and Aging and Committee on Hearing, 1988). Because communication often occurs in the presence of background noise (e.g., at social gatherings, in public transportation, on the telephone), this can have dramatic ecological consequences. Decreased hearing-in-noise capacity appears to be independent of...
peripheral hearing loss (Frisina & Frisina, 1997). Other changes affecting speech perception include a reduced ability to discriminate between pairs of minimally different syllables (e.g., pa-ba/) (Strouse et al., 1998) and to read lips (Sommers et al., 2005). In addition to changes affecting speech perception, and by extension, language comprehension and communication, age-related changes in speech production have also been described, and include a reduction in speech rate (Duchin Mysak, 1987; Fozo Watson, 1998; Hartman Danahuer, 1976), an increase in the duration of individual speech sounds (Morris Brown, 1987; Ryan Burk, 1974), a decrease in voice amplitude (Baker et al., 2001), and a change (either increase or decrease) in the fundamental frequency of voice (Decoster Debruyne, 1997; Linville, 1996; Mueller, 1997; Ramig, 1983a, 1983b). These changes are likely to reduce communication efficiency by reducing intelligibility, that is, the ability for a person to be understood by another.

Speech perception and production abilities are vulnerable to aging, as are many aspects of human behavior, such as processing speed, episodic memory, and attention. However, several important questions remain unanswered with regard to aging of the speech system, and we try to address a few of these in the present study. First, the extent to which behavioral changes affecting speech perception and production are associated with normal age-related structural changes at the level of the central nervous system (CNS), a phenomenon often referred to as “brain senescence” (Dickstein et al., 2009; Raz Kennedy, 2009), is undetermined. Some of the behavioral changes may be related to peripheral age-related changes in the speech system, such as ossification of the laryngeal cartilages and reduced facial muscle strengths, rather than functional and structural changes in the brain. Second, it is unclear whether age-related difficulties in processing and producing speech sounds are related to general aging mechanisms that affect the brain globally, or to changes affecting specific regions involved in speech processing and production. Age-related changes at the level of the prefrontal cortex, for instance, have been abundantly documented in relation to cognitive decline. Are these prefrontal changes relevant to the behavioral decline in speech perception and production? Third, we do not know whether age-related behavioral speech perception and production changes have overlapping origins in the brain. Closed-loop accounts of motor control suggest that movements, including speech (Nasir Ostry, 2008; Tremblay et al., 2003), are under the constant control of sensory feedback. Because aging is associated with a decline in hearing acuity, this account predicts that the precision and stability of the speech movements will decrease with age as a consequence of decreased sensory acuity (so too will speech perception). Consistent with this idea, it has been shown that sudden deafness leads to a rapid deterioration of several aspects of speech production (Gould et al., 2001; Matthies et al., 1994; Perkell et al., 1992). Moreover, several studies have shown that online acoustical and somatosensory manipulation of a person’s auditory/tactile feedback triggers immediate compensatory changes in speech production (Burnett et al., 1998; Houde Jordan, 1998; Jones Munhall, 2000; Tremblay et al., 2003), supporting the notion that motor control relies on online feedback processing.

Consistent with this behavioral evidence, neuroimaging and neurostimulation studies have shown overlap in the neural representation of speech perceptual and motor mechanisms, in particular at the level of the ventral premotor cortex (PMv) (Callan, Callan, et al., 2006; Callan et al., 2010; Callan, Tsytserav, et al., 2006; D’Ausilio et al., 2009; Pulvermüller et al., 2006; Tremblay et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2004) and in auditory association areas in particular in the planum temporale (PT) (Tremblay et al., 2013; Tremblay Small, 2011c). Because the neural system underlying speech perception and speech production are overlapping, it is possible that age-related alterations to this system affect speech behavior globally, independent of the specific speech task.

A few groups have begun to investigate the neurobiological changes that are related to decline in speech perception, and have found age differences, especially at the level of the supratemporal cortex, but also in the frontal lobe (Frisina & Frisina, 1997; Harkrider et al., 2005; Peele et al., 2011; Sheppard et al., 2011; Tremblay et al., 2002; Wong et al., 2010). For example, using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), Wong et al. (Wong et al., 2009) showed that speech perception in aging is associated with lower activation magnitude in the left auditory cortex in older compared to younger adults, most likely reflecting neuronal under-recruitment (Gazzaley D’Esposito, 2005). Results also showed higher activation magnitude in the left middle temporal gyrus and right precuneus, 2 areas associated with higher-order cognitive processing, which may indicate compensatory neural mechanisms. In a follow-up study, Wong et al. (Wong et al., 2010) further demonstrated a link between cognition and speech in aging using structural MRI. In this study, the ability to perceive speech in noise was examined against structural changes occurring in the frontal lobe. A significant relationship was found between the volume of left pars triangularis inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) and behavioral performance. Other studies, however, have found lower brain activation in older compared to younger adults, without concomitant increases in brain activation. For example, Peele et al. (Peele et al., 2011), reported lower activation magnitude in the primary auditory cortices (A1) bilaterally during a speech perception task in older compared to younger adults, which was accompanied by smaller gray matter volume in this area. This result is consistent with high-resolution MRI morphometric studies showing structural vulnerability to age in A1 (Allen et al., 2005), Sheppard et al. (Sheppard et al., 2011) recently showed an age-related regional decrease in network efficiency at the level of the supratemporal cortex, including A1, during speech perception in quiet and in noise. There was no evidence of compensatory network changes.

One fMRI study has examined age-related neural changes in overt speech production (Soros et al., 2011) and found increased activation in several areas in elderly compared to young adults, including A1, the ventral premotor cortex (PMv), the supplementary motor area (SMA-proper) and the prefrontal cortex. There was also decreased activation in posterior supratemporal areas including STG. Increased activation was interpreted as evidence of neural compensation, that is, neural reorganization used to counteract age-related neural decline and resulting in maintained high performance (Cabeza et al., 2002). Taken together, results of these previous brain-imaging studies on speech in aging reveal age-related functional and structural alterations in the CNS that appear to affect the ability to process, and perhaps also produce, speech.

The general objective of the present study is to further current understanding of the neurobiology of speech in aging. First, we examine the direction of magnitude changes (higher vs lower), context relevance (task-dependent vs task-independent) and scope (regional vs global) of age-related differences in the blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal during speech perception and production using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Second, we examine the relationship between age-related functional and structural alterations, with focus on gray matter volume and surface-based cortical thickness, 2 measures widely used to quantify structural change of the cortex (Fischl Dale, 2000; Han et al., 2006; Walhovd et al., 2005). We hypothesize that areas involved in processing and producing speech, in particular the planum temporale (PT) and the ventral premotor cortex (PMv), would show lower activation in older adults compared to younger adults, reflecting less efficient neural processes. Furthermore, we
expect that these age-related functional differences will be dependent upon regional structural brain changes in PT and PMv, with thinner cortical thickness and smaller gray matter volume predicting regional changes in BOLD signal. We used a multiple mediation approach to better characterize whether and how structural changes mediate the relation between age and changes in the BOLD response. Our results provide important new insights into normative age-related brain changes.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The young adult group comprised 20 healthy right-handed (Oldfield, 1971) native speakers of American English (mean age, 23.7 ± 5.5; range, 18–38 years; 11 female and 9 male), with a mean of 15.1 ± 2 years of education (range, 12–18 years). The data from 1 additional participant could not be used because of a technical problem with the stimulus presentation. The older adult group comprised 19 healthy right-handed (Oldfield, 1971) native speakers of American English (mean age, 62.05 ± 3.84; range, 57–70 years; 11 females and 8 males), with a mean of 16.2 ± 2 years of education (range, 13–22 years). Participants in both groups had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and no self-reported history of speech, voice, language, or neurological disorder. Participants were screened for depression using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) (Radloff, 1977) and for cognitive functioning using the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975). There were no differences across groups on these tests. Participants’ characteristics are reported in Table 1. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Biological Sciences Division of the University of Chicago.

2.2. Behavioral tests

Participants’ hearing sensitivity was assessed using a standard audiometric testing procedure (pure-tone air conduction thresholds for the following frequencies: 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 6000, and 8000 Hz). A standard speech discrimination test (Northwestern University auditory test no. 6, form A) was also used to evaluate participants’ ability to identify speech sounds. Speech discrimination procedures measure a person’s ability not only to hear words but also to identify them. For each participant, a standard pure tone average (PTA – average of threshold at 1, 2, and 4 KHz) was computed for the left and right ear, as well as a better ear PTA.

2.3. Stimuli and procedures

The experiment consisted of 2 tasks: (1) observation of a set of short video clips showing a female actor producing words (Perception); and (2) observation of a set of similar videos followed by repetition of the word produced by the speaker (Production). A resting condition (cross-hair fixation) was also included as a baseline condition and was interleaved with rest trials; the order of the conditions and the number of rest trials were jittered and optimized using Optseq2 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/optseq/). Participants always completed the Perception task first. Moreover, they did not know that they would be required to produce words until the beginning of the Production task. This was done to avoid covert rehearsal during Perception. The stimuli were 120 short video clips of a native English-speaking female actor articulating bisyllabic words (nouns). These words were either simple or complex, as measured in terms of the presence or absence of a consonant cluster. The complexity manipulation is reported elsewhere (Tremblay Small, 2011c). In the present study, stimuli were collapsed across complexity levels. All videos were presented using Presentation Software (Neurobehavioral System, CA). Visual stimuli were delivered to a custom rear projection screen placed inside the bore of the magnet approximately 24 inches from the participant, who viewed the stimuli via a mirror attached to the head coil. Auditory stimuli were delivered via a high quality full frequency range auditory amplifier (Avotec Inc., Stuart, FL).

2.4. Image acquisition

The data were acquired on a whole-body Siemens 3.0 Tesla Tim Trio MRI scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) at Northwestern University (Chicago, IL). A total of 32 axial slices (3 × 1.7 × 1.7 mm, no gap) were acquired in interleaved order using a multislice EPI sequence (TR = 2 s, TE = 20 ms; FOV = 200 × 207 × 127 mm; 128 × 128 matrix; flip angle = 75°). This covered the entire cortex, but, for most participants, the cerebellum was only partially covered. For this reason, we do not report group results for the cerebellum. Two experimental runs (6.3 minutes each) resulted in the acquisition of 380 T2*-weighted BOLD images (120 experimental trials and 60 baseline trials). High-resolution T1-weighted volumes were acquired for anatomical localization (176 sagittal slices, 1 × 1 × 1 mm resolution, TR = 23 ms, TE = 2.91, FOV = 256 × 256 × 176 mm). Throughout the procedure, each participant’s head was immobilized by means of a set of cushions and pads.

2.5. Image analysis

2.5.1. Pre-processing

All time series were spatially registered, motion corrected, time shifted, de-spiked, and mean normalized using AFNI (Cox, 1996). All time points occurring during excessive motion, defined as >1 mm, were excluded from the analyses as part of the regression (Johnstone et al., 2006). Separate regressors for Perception and Production were created for each participant; additional regressors were the mean, linear, and quadratic trend components, and the 6 motion parameters (x, y, z and roll, pitch, and yaw). To remove additional sources of spurious variance unlikely to represent signal of interest, we also included in the regression signal from the lateral ventricles (Dick et al., 2010; Fox et al., 2005), which was identified using the automated subcortical segmentation from FreeSurfer to mask the ventricles. A linear least-squares model was used to establish a fit to each time point of the hemodynamic response function for each condition. Event-related signals were deconvolved by linear interpolation, beginning at 2 seconds post stimulus onset and continuing at 2-second intervals for 10 seconds, using AFNI’s tent function (i.e., a piecewise linear spline model). This resulted in regression weights (beta values) indexing percent signal change at each 2-second interval. All analyses focused on the beta values averaged across the 4– to 8-seconds post-stimulus onset time lag. The FreeSurfer software package (Dale et al., 1999;
Fischl et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 2004) was used to create surface representations of each participant’s anatomy by inflating each hemisphere of the anatomical volumes to a surface representation and aligning it to a template of average curvature. SUMA was then used to import the surface representations into the AFNI 3D space and to project the functional data from the 3-dimensional volumes onto the 2-dimensional surfaces. Data were smoothed on the surface to achieve a target smoothing value of 6 mm using a Gaussian full-width half-maximum (FWHM) filter. Smoothing on the surface as opposed to smoothing on the volume ensures that white matter values are not included, and that functional data situated in anatomically distant locations on the cortical surface are not averaged across sulci (Argall et al., 2006; Desai et al., 2005).

2.5.2. Whole-brain analyses

Whole-brain, group analyses were performed using SUMA on the participants’ smoothed beta values resulting from the first-level analysis. For each analysis, we controlled for sex, education and PTA. A series of vertex-wise directional t-tests was computed for the contrasts of the following: (1) conjunction of Perception against rest for the young and Perception against rest for the older adults, yielding a map of age-independent Perception-related activation; (2) conjunction of Production against rest for the young and Production against rest for the older adults, yielding a map of age-independent Production-related activation; next, (3) age-dependent activation within Perception; (4) age-dependent activation within Production; and (5) × age interactions. Conjunction analyses were conducted using the procedure outlined in Nichols et al., 2005 (Nichols et al., 2005), which is essentially an intersection of vertices that are significantly active relative to resting baseline. A Monte Carlo simulation conducted in the surface space, based on the work of Forman et al. (Forman et al., 1995; Nyberg et al., 2010), was used to identify significant clusters of activated vertices, with an individual vertex threshold of $p < 0.005$, corrected for multiple comparisons to achieve a family-wise error (FWE) rate of $p < 0.05$ (clusters ≥ 163 vertices).

2.5.3. Regions of interest

An analysis of regions of interest (ROI) was conducted on all of the age-sensitive cortical areas (bilateral PMv, right SMA-proper, left pSFG, left auditory cortex, right PT, right middle superior temporal sulcus (STS), right anterior occipito-temporal sulcus (aOTS), and right occipital pole (Opole)) identified through the whole-brain analysis (contrasts 3, 4, and 5) to determine the direction of the age effects. The group images were used as masks to extract the percentage of change in these regions for each condition (Perception, Production) and each participant. Using Freesurfer, for each ROI, we computed 2 morphometric measures: surface-based cortical thickness, and gray matter volume. This process involves normalizing intensity from a high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical MRI, removing non-brain voxels using a skull-stripping procedure (the result of which was visually inspected and manually adjusted when necessary), segmentation of the gray and white matter and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) as well as surface deformation and topological corrections (Dale et al., 1999). Cortical thickness measures were obtained by calculating the distance between white matter and pial surfaces at each vertex across the cortical mantle (Fischl Dale, 2000). The cortical volumes were computed using the statistical module of FreeSurfer, which integrates the area of each surface triangle and multiplies it by the mean thickness over the entire ROI. Two summary measures were also computed for the whole hemispheres: mean cortical thickness (in millimeters) and overall gray matter volume (calculated as the sum of the volumes for all cortical and subcortical gray and white matter ROIs, in cubic centimeters). To examine whether age-related changes in BOLD signal are mediated by regional volume and thickness, a series of multiple mediations were conducted. These analyses were conducted using the INDIRECT macro for SPSS (http://www.afhayes.com/) (Preacher Hayes, 2004; Preacher Hayes, 2008).

Mediation analyses provide a very powerful analytical framework for testing predictions about mechanisms to explain age effects. Indeed, mediation models allow researchers to explain the mechanisms by which 1 variable affects another (Baron Kenny, 1986; MacKinnon et al., 2007; Preacher Hayes, 2004, 2008; Shrout Bolger, 2002). Multiple mediation analyses estimate the path coefficients in a multiple mediator model, and generate bootstrap confidence intervals for total and specific indirect effects of X on Y through multiple mediator variables (M). The model that was used is illustrated in Supplementary material S1. In this multiple (parallel) mediation model, the dependent (Y) variables were the signal magnitude in Perception and in Production (each tested separately) for each of our age-sensitive ROIs, whereas the independent (X) variable was the categorical variable Age (young, older). Four mediators (ipsilateral volume, contralateral volume,
ipsilateral thickness, contralateral thickness) and 3 covariates (PTA, sex, and education) were used. For each ROI, a linear regression was used to test for a direct effect of Age on brain structure—the a path in the model. A linear regression was also conducted to test for an effect of brain structure on BOLD signal (the b path). Next, a series of multiple regressions was conducted, each including 1 of the mediators, to examine (1) whether there was a direct effect of Age on BOLD independent of brain structure (the c’ path); and (2) whether there was an indirect effect of Age on BOLD signal through regional brain structure (the ab path). An indirect effect indicates that a change in brain structure affects BOLD signal while Age is kept constant. A bootstrapping approach was used to test for the significance of the indirect effects (Shrout Bolger, 2002) ($p < 0.05$, using bootstrapping with 20,000 samples). Bootstrapping involves the repeated extraction of samples, with replacement, from a dataset and the estimation of the indirect effect in each resampled data set.

3. Results

3.1. Hearing assessment

Examination of hearing sensitivity across groups, as measured by better ear PTA, revealed significant between-group differences ($t_{(37df)} = −5.843, p = 0.0000001$). Hearing results are presented in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 1. As can be seen in the figure, some of our older participants had mild hearing loss; thus, in all analyses, PTA was used as a covariate to remove potential effects of hearing sensitivity on BOLD signal.

3.2. Behavioral data

Participants’ performance in the repetition task approached ceiling. The young participants committed a total of 15 errors in more than 960 trials. The older participants committed a total of 14 errors in more than 960 trials.

3.3. Imaging data

3.3.1. Whole-brain analysis

We first examined the magnitude of age-independent activation at the whole-brain level (Table 2). For Perception (Fig. 2A, red), age-independent activation included a large bilateral cluster covering the supratemporal planes, part of the occipital pole, and fusiform gyrus. For Production, (Fig. 2B, red) age-independent activation included additional bilateral clusters in ventral primary motor cortex (M1v), PMv, and SMA-proper. For the contrast of age-independent Production against age-independent Perception, Fig. 3 (red) shows activation in bilateral M1v, PMv, and along the supratemporal cortices, including the transverse temporal gyrus (TTG), transverse temporal sulcus (TTS), and planum temporale (PT). No region showed stronger response for Perception than Production.

We next examined age-dependent task-related activation (Table 3). As shown in Fig. 2A (blue), for Perception, we found cortical regions sensitive to Age in the left TTS, right PT, in the right STS, approximately in the middle of the sulcus (along the anterior–posterior dimension) (mSTS), left superior frontal gyrus (pSFG), right occipital pole (OPole), and right anterior occipito-temporal sulcus (aOTS; Fig. 2A, blue). In all of these regions except pSFG, the activation magnitude during Perception was significantly weaker for older compared to that in younger adults (Fig. 4). For Production, we found cortical regions sensitive to Age in the right PMv, right mSTS, and right SMA-proper (Fig. 2B, blue). In all these ROIs, the amplitude of the BOLD signal was lower for older compared to younger adults (Fig. 5).

Finally, we examined regions exhibiting an Age-by-Task interaction. As shown in Fig. 3 (blue), an interaction was found in the bilateral PMv, left pSFG, and right SMA-proper. In both PMv regions

### Table 2

**FWE-corrected group-level whole-brain conjunctions across groups for Perception and Production**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hemi</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>No. of nodes</th>
<th>Surface area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perception Younger ∩ Perception Older</td>
<td>Supratemporal plane, including the transverse temporal gyrus and sulcus, the planum temporale, and the anterior half of the STG. Activation also extends onto the middle STS.</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−50</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4197</td>
<td>13118.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occipito-temporal cortex, including the fusiform gyrus, OTS, inferior and middle occipital gyri, and occipital pole.</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>−74</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>833.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occipital pole</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>−102</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>81.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lingual gyrus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>−95</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>46.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supratemporal plane, including the transverse temporal gyrus and sulcus, the planum temporale, and the entire STG. Activation also runs along most of the STS.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8652</td>
<td>2484.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occipito-temporal cortex, including the fusiform gyrus, OTS, inferior and middle occipital gyri, and occipital pole.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>−80</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>3804</td>
<td>1176.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Younger ∩ Production Older</td>
<td>Supratemporal plane, including the transverse temporal gyrus and sulcus, the planum temporale, and the entire STG. Activation also extends onto the middle STS.</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3965</td>
<td>1302.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventral primary motor area in the central sulcus, extending into the ventral precentral gyrus (PMv).</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>420.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventral precentral sulcus, extending into the pars opercularis of the inferior frontal gyrus.</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>−58</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>235.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fusiform gyrus, extending into the OTS.</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>122.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMA-proper.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior occipital sulcus.</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>−67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>52.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posterior cingulate gyrus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>47.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supratemporal plane, including the transverse temporal gyrus and sulcus, the planum temporale, and the entire STG. Activation also extends onto the posterior half of the STS.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8748</td>
<td>2497.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventral primary motor area in the central sulcus, extending into the ventral precentral gyrus (PMv).</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4640</td>
<td>1367.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcarine sulcus, cuneus, and lingual gyrus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>251.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fusiform gyrus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−55</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>200.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal central sulcus (Mid).</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>145.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pars opercularis of the inferior frontal gyrus, extending onto the ventral precentral sulcus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>129.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-SMA/SMA-proper.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>104.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior occipital sulcus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>−67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>104.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posterior cingulate gyrus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates are in Talairach space. Regions significantly active represent age-independent task-related activation. Cluster size is calculated in number of surface vertices, and area is in square millimeters (mm²).
and in the right SMA-proper, the interaction was driven by lower BOLD signal for Production in older compared to younger adults, without an effect of Age on Perception (Figs. 6A–C). In the left pSFG, there was no significant effect of Age on Production, but the amplitude of the BOLD signal was stronger for older compared to younger participants during Perception (Fig. 6D).

3.4. Age-related structural changes

3.4.1. Global brain senescence

The left hemisphere gray matter volume was 266 cc (±21.2 cc) for the young and 226.3 cc (±21.39 cc) for the older adults; this difference was significant (t(34) = 4.60, p < 0.0001). The right hemisphere gray matter volume was 267.4 cc (±21 cc) for the young and 227.9 cc (±22.54 cc) for the older adults; this difference was significant (t(34) = 4.49, p < .0001). The left hemisphere thickness was 2.46 mm (±0.77 mm) for the young and 2.34 mm (±0.059 mm) for the older adults (t(34) = 4.32, p < 0.001). The right hemisphere thickness was 2.47 mm (±0.069 mm) for the young and 2.35 mm (±0.063 mm) for the older adults (t(34) = 4.037, p < 0.001).

3.4.2. Regional brain senescence

First, we conducted a set of FDR-corrected independent t-tests (q = 0.05, i = 8 tests per hemisphere) to examine the volume of gray matter in younger and older adults in age-sensitive ROIs identified through whole-brain analyses (PMv, SMA-proper, pSFG, TTS, PT, mSTS, aOTS, and Opole). Because all available evidence points towards a lower volume and thickness in older ages (see for example Drachman, 2006; Raz Kennedy, 2009), directional tests were conducted. The PMv, SMA-proper, pSFG, and the aOTS had smaller gray matter volume (bilaterally) for older compared to younger adults. The left mSTS and right Opole had smaller gray matter volume, and PT and TTS showed no age difference. These results are presented in Table 4. Next, we examined regional differences in cortical thickness. The results revealed a difference in cortical thickness (thinner cortical mantle for older compared to younger adults) in SMA-proper, pSFG, TTS, and mSTS bilaterally, left PMv, and left PT, and no difference in thickness in the occipital ROIs. These results are presented in Table 5.

3.5. Mediation analyses

A main focus of this study was to examine the relationship between group differences in regional structural measures and regional task-related BOLD signal magnitude. To this aim, we conducted a series of multiple mediation analyses on the age-sensitive ROIs identified in the whole-brain analysis (PMv, SMA-proper, pSFG, TTS, PT, mSTS, aOTS, and Opole). In the occipital lobe ROIs (right Opole and right aOTS), there was no direct or indirect relationship between structure and BOLD signal (Supplementary material S2; statistics are presented in Supplementary material S3).

In the temporal ROIs, BOLD signal changes were predicted by age in both Perception and Production, and all ROIs showed a direct relationship between structure and BOLD (see Fig. 7A). In the left TTS, there was a direct effect of contralateral TTS volume on BOLD signal during Production. In the right PT, there was a direct effect of
bilateral PT thickness on BOLD signal during Perception. In the right mSTS, there was a direct effect of contralateral mSTS thickness on BOLD signal magnitude during Perception. A partial indirect effect of Age on Perception through contralateral thickness was also found (ab path). Partial mediation indicates that the mediation effect is smaller than total effect (c path), meaning that the direct effect (c’ path) and the indirect effect (ab path) are both significantly different from zero. The frontal ROIs (bilateral PMv, right SMA-proper, left pSFG) showed various response patterns. As shown in Fig. 8A (top row), the left PMv BOLD signal was modulated by age in both Perception and Production. There was a direct effect of contralateral volume on BOLD signal magnitude during Perception and a partial indirect effect of Age on Perception through contralateral volume. As show in Fig. 8A (bottom row) the right PMv BOLD signal was modulated by age only in Production. There was no direct or indirect effect of structure on BOLD. In the right SMA-proper (see Fig. 9A, top row), BOLD signal was modulated by age only in Perception. There was a direct effect of contralateral thickness on BOLD signal magnitude during Perception and an indirect effect (complete mediation) of Age on Perception through contralateral SMA thickness. As show in Fig. 9A (bottom row) the left pSFG BOLD signal was modulated by age only in Perception, consistent with whole-brain results. In contrast to all other ROIs, pSFG showed stronger activation in older compared to younger adults; this effect was not influenced, directly or indirectly, by regional structural differences. There was, however, a direct effect of bilateral thickness on BOLD signal magnitude during Production. An additional set of mediation analyses was conducted to determine whether examining the effect of regional structural changes onto BOLD signal provides information different from that using global structural measures. The result are presented in Figs. 7B, 8B, and 9B. As can be seen in the figures, the results of this analysis are quite different from those obtained using regional measures. Most of the relations (direct and indirect) between brain structure and BOLD signal were mediated exclusively through either regional or global structural measurements. Only in the left

Table 3
FWE-corrected age-dependent whole-brain effects in Perception, Production, and Production > Perception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hemi</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>Cluster area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perception</td>
<td>TTS, extending into TTG</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-49</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3.340218</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>97.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal precentral sulcus/superior frontal gyrus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-4.613151</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>80.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT extending into TTS.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-99</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>-4.180524</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>80.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital pole.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-3.818996</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>54.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral occipital-temporal sulcus extending into the fusiform gyrus.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-3.885855</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>75.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle STG.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-4.383471</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>Ventral precentral gyrus (posterior PMv).</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-3.79114</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>144.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle STG/STS.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-4.381458</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>118.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA-proper.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-3.99374</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>38.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production &gt; Perception</td>
<td>Ventral central sulcus and precentral gyrus (PMv).</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-3.79114</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>144.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal precentral sulcus/posterior superior frontal gyrus (pSFG).</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-3.096119</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>63.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral precentral gyrus (PMv)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-3.946285</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>160.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA-proper.</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-4.225055</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>69.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates are in Talairach space. Cluster size is calculated in number of surface nodes, cluster area is calculated in square millimeters (mm²).
Did we find that the relation of right hemisphere volume to BOLD was significant, using both right TTS volume and total right hemisphere volume.

In terms of the ability of each method to detect relationships, we found significant direct structure/BOLD relationships (b paths) in 6 ROIs using regional values and in 4 using global measures. For indirect (mediated) structure/BOLD relationships (ab paths), they were found in 3 ROIs using regional values and in 4 using global measures.

4. Discussion

The unprecedented aging of the population worldwide has triggered a renewed interest in understanding how the brain ages, both functionally and structurally. Modern brain imaging techniques such as functional MRI and PET offer powerful and noninvasive tools to study, in vivo, the structure and function of the human brain throughout the lifespan. At the functional level, imaging studies have consistently documented age-related
alterations, although the pattern of observed change has varied from 1 study to another, with some studies showing a decline in functional activation with aging, and others demonstrating co-occurring increases and decreases in functional activation with aging. One difficulty in interpreting these apparently divergent findings derives from the fact that most studies have either focused on changes in the BOLD signal, or changes in brain structure, but not both concurrently. Only a small number of studies have examined the relationship between structural and functional changes in aging (Nyberg et al., 2002; Sheppard et al., 2011). Here we explore this issue in the context of speech perception and production. The 4 most important findings of this study are as follows: (1) age-related, gender-independent changes are regional, largely task dependent, and essentially consisting of lower activation in older compared to younger adults; (2) neural compensation, defined as increased brain activation serving to counteract age-related neural decline, was generally not evident, with the exception of the left pSFG; (3) global decreases in cortical thickness and gray matter volume were present with important regional differences; and (4) the relation between brain structure and function is heterogeneous and complex. In some regions, there is a significant relationship between structure and function, whereas in others, functional changes are independent of regional ipsi- and contralateral structural changes. These findings are discussed in the following paragraphs.

4.1. Aging and the BOLD signal

We tackle first the general aging effects on the BOLD signal. The comparison of BOLD responses in individuals of different ages relies on the assumption of comparable cerebrovascular coupling and cerebral blood flow (CBF), because the BOLD signal depends on the integrity of neurovascular coupling and is believed to depend on CBF. Changes in the cerebrovascular system frequently occur in older ages (e.g., reduced vascular reactivity, arteriolar sclerosis), which are likely to affect (i.e., reduce) the BOLD signal (D’Esposito et al., 2003). One way to circumvent this problem is to focus on age-by-condition interactions in the BOLD signal rather than absolute age differences in BOLD signal across age groups. In the same way that a receding tide lowers all boats, reduced BOLD signal will occur across conditions within age. Focusing on the interaction—the difference of the condition differences across age—helps to alleviate main effects of aging such as vascular changes (D’Esposito et al., 2009). In the present study, we focused on Age-by-Task (Perception, Production) interactions; that is, we identified brain regions in which the BOLD signal varied as a function of both Task and Age group.

4.2. Functional changes in the speech network: nature, context-relevance, and scope

In the present study, we examined age-independent and age-dependent activation in the cortical system involved during simple audiovisual speech perception and production tasks in healthy adults. Our results demonstrate a large bilateral network of cortical areas that were not affected by age, which included primary motor and premotor areas of the frontal lobe, most of the supratemporal cortex, the fusiform gyrus, and parts of the occipital lobe.
Preparation for speech production (Alario et al., 2006; Bohland found in the SMA-proper, consistent with its role in motor production (Tremblay Small, 2011a, 2011c). A similar pattern was a role in both perception and production, with greater involvement production than perception, consistent with prior evidence for aspects of speech, was among the only areas showing a Task-by-Age network, which suggests that age-related changes in speech skills were necessary to succeed in the production task, which requires transformation of an auditory or audiovisual code into a code that the motor system can understand. This suggests that despite neural decline in this area, participants were still capable of sustaining basic speech repetition mechanisms.

Another area vulnerable to aging was a region of mSTS, a region that has been described as a core phonological processing area (Hickok, 2009; Hickok Poeppel, 2007) for speech perception and production. In the present study, the mSTS bilaterally was jointly active for speech perception and production; its activation magnitude was lower for older compared to younger adults during speech perception but not speech production. This region is often found during speech and non-speech orofacial movement execution (Grabski et al., 2012; Tatsumi et al., 1999; Tremblay Small, 2011b) as well as execution of hand and arm movements (Colebatch et al., 1991). In monkeys, parietal-premotor loops involving area F2 appear to be involved in planning and controlling movements on the basis of sensory information (Rizzolatti et al., 1998); F2 is a source of corticospinal projections and is connected with the primary motor area. Parietal afferents are very rich (Luppino Rizzolatti, 2000). It is possible that this region is involved in the planning and execution of speech movements, which too rely on sensory information, including somatosensory and auditory feedback. The fact that it is more strongly active in older compared to younger adults during perception but not production suggests that it may be providing additional sensorimotor information that could be used to constrain speech sound identification. The Motor Theory of Speech Perception (Liberman et al., 1967; Liberman Mattingly, 1985) contends that speech perception and production are intimately linked, and that each speech sound is associated with a specific combination of motor commands, such as “tongue retraction” and “jaw opening.” According to this view, the ability to categorize the speech sounds forming the incoming speech stream is accomplished by tracking the intended articulatory patterns, and thus the intended articulatory patterns represent the ultimate objects of speech perception. Given the well-known decline in speech perception skills that occurs with age, the finding of increased premotor activation during speech perception is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Hemi</th>
<th>GID</th>
<th>Cortical thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Percent change p Value (difference)</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ventral PM</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>–6.59 0.00042*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>–3.81 0.05921 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>–3.81 0.05921 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>–3.81 0.05921 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA-proper</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>–6.05 0.00017*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>–7.60 0.00013*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>–7.60 0.00013*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>–7.60 0.00013*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior SFG</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>–7.31 0.00013*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>–9.80 0.00008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>–9.80 0.00008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>–9.80 0.00008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>–4.42 0.03620*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>–3.29 0.09122 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>–3.29 0.09122 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTS</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>–6.95 0.03766*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>–9.80 0.00008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>–9.80 0.00008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>–9.80 0.00008*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle STS</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>–6.04 0.00009*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>–9.62 0.00015*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>–9.62 0.00015*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>–9.62 0.00015*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aOTS</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>–1.23 0.35579 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>–1.23 0.35579 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>–1.20 0.27132 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>–1.20 0.27132 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPole</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>–1.24 0.28350 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>–1.24 0.28350 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Younger</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>–2.38 0.11829 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Older</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>–2.38 0.11829 n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
consistent with the Perception-for-Action-Control Theory (PACT) (Schwartz et al., 2012), which posits that speech production mechanisms have 2 functions in speech perception: (1) to co-structure auditory categories with learned motor routines, which leads to the integration of articulatory information into perceptual categories; and (2) to mediate speech perception through a predictive mechanism, which comes into play when there is perceptual ambiguity, to recover the missing information. Loss of sensitivity to speech acoustical features, as it occurs at older ages, may represent 1 such situation.

4.3. Lower efficiency of the speech system in aging or age-related expertise?

Lower BOLD signal in aging, given appropriate experimental design and analysis (see section on aging and the BOLD signal), can
be interpreted in at least 2 ways. One hypothesis is that lower BOLD reflects a reduced level of neural functioning, especially if it is accompanied by poorer behavioral performance. Such a pattern may or may not be associated with age-related reduction in brain tissue. An alternative possibility is that lower BOLD signal magnitude, especially if accompanied with similar or better behavioral performance, reflects expertise (increased neural efficiency), rather than reduced neural functioning. Prior work has shown that expertise is associated with regional decrease in brain activation in young adults during object recognition (Wiesmann Ishai, 2011) and auditory perception (Berkowitz Ansari, 2010; Petrini et al., 2011), although there is also evidence of increased activation associated with action observation in expert dancers (Berkowitz Ansari, 2010). Expertise, however, has never been associated with brain tissue reduction. In contrast, it has been associated with increased thickness in STS in athletes (Wei et al., 2011), and with increased gray matter volume in the prefrontal cortex in car experts (Gilaie-Dotan et al., 2012). Although some forms of expertise, such as medical expertise (Woollett et al., 2008), do not correlate with an increase in cortical volume and/or thickness, we have not found evidence for an association between lower volume or thickness and expertise.

In the present study, lower BOLD signal magnitude in regions involved in perceiving or producing speech was found in several cortical areas (PMv, SMA-proper, TTS, PT, mSTS, aOTS, and OPole), reflecting both lower functioning (PMv, SMA-proper, TTS, PT, mSTS) and expertise (OPole, aOTS). For the PMv, SMA-proper, TTS, PT, mSTS, the patterns of results suggest lower functioning level because the age difference in BOLD signal was mediated by regional changes in cortical thickness or volume, with lower thickness and/or volume associated with lower BOLD signal. This pattern is more consistent with the hypothesis of decreased neural functioning, despite the absence of a difference in behavioral performance between groups; it is possible that, albeit decreased, the neural functioning level was still sufficient to maintain performance, especially during such a low-difficulty speech repetition task.

In the occipital ROIs (OPole and aOTS), we suggest that expertise rather than lower functional efficiency accounts for the lower BOLD signal. Indeed, the occipital ROIs exhibited lower BOLD signal magnitude in older adults during speech perception (which involved hearing and seeing a person producing words) uncorrelated with regional morphometric differences. Recall that our right aOTS ROI encompassed both the occipito-temporal sulcus and the fusiform gyrus. This areas has been shown to be involved in human movement perception as well as face perception (Grill-Spector et al., 2004; Kanwisher et al., 1997; Rossion et al., 2012; Yovel Kanwisher, 2004) and facial motion (Puce et al., 2003).
finding of lower BOLD signal in older adults in areas involved in vision and face perception is interesting, because empirical evidence shows that visual speech information enhances speech perception (Binnie et al., 1974; MacLeod Summerfield, 1987; Summerfield, 1979), particularly for older adults (Winneke Phillips, 2011). Rehabilitation strategies designed to compensate for an impoverished auditory signal often encourage lip-reading (Alpiner, 1986). If older adults rely more heavily on visual information, one might expect to see increased BOLD signal in visual areas; but we found no visual area showing such a pattern. Unlike the other ROIs, the BOLD signal in the occipital ROIs showed no relationship to regional structural measures, which may indicate that, despite increased reliance on visual information, expertise rather than lower functional efficiency accounts for the lower BOLD signal found in these areas. Lower thickness or lower volume associated with lower BOLD signal, as discussed above, suggests decreased functional efficiency rather than expertise, because expertise has been associated with increased morphometric indices rather than decreases. In sum, our data reveal patterns of BOLD–structure relationship suggesting both lower functioning (temporal and frontal ROIs with the exception of the pSFG) and expertise (occipital areas) in healthy older adults.

4.4. Regional structural senescence in the speech network vs global brain senescence

In addition to expected global trends, our data indicate regional differences in the relationship between age and structure, consistent with the hypothesis that age-related structural changes are not uniform across the whole brain, with some regions showing heightened vulnerability (Cabeza, 2001). Global age-related brain senescence is a well-established phenomenon. Multiple autopsy studies have revealed global age-related decreases in brain volume and weight (Dekaban, 1978; Ho et al., 1980; Skullerud, 1985). Consistent with these findings, more recent MRI and computed tomography experiments have shown age-related linear decline in several morphometric measures such as global gray matter volume (Allen et al., 2005; Carmichael et al., 2007; Giorgio et al., 2010; Raz et al., 1998; Takeda Matsuzawa, 1984; Takeda et al., 1984; Yamaura et al., 1980). Based on the literature, we thus expected to find global senescence in both cortical thickness and gray matter volume, which we found, while controlling for gender.

Compared to the frontal ROIs, the temporal and occipital ROIs showed little sensitivity to aging. In a recent longitudinal MRI study of 38 healthy elderly participants (average age, 66 years) many...
regions, including the right inferior frontal gyrus and bilateral postcentral gyrus showed a structural decline, whereas other regions, including the precentral gyrus and the auditory cortex, showed no structural decline, consistent with our findings (Nyberg et al., 2010). In another longitudinal study in a large group (N = 142) of healthy adults, with mean age of 75.6 years (Fjell et al., 2009), regional differences in the rate of atrophy were examined at 1- and 2-year intervals. Although most regions showed structural decline, some regions were well preserved, such as the precentral and postcentral regions. Hence, our results, in keeping with previous findings, demonstrate different patterns of cortical vulnerability, with some regions, such as the supratemporal cortex, beginning to decay only later in life.

Another important finding of the present study is that different morphometric measures reveal distinct patterns of structural vulnerability to aging. Our results show that only 6 unilateral ROIs (representing less than 40% of all ROIs examined) showed significant reduction in both gray matter volume and cortical thickness. All other ROIs were vulnerable to aging, as measured in only 1 of these measures. These results emphasize the importance of looking at multiple measures of brain morphology in aging, as they have various sensitivity profiles, each of which potentially provides complementary information on the course of brain structural decline, and perhaps aging, more generally.

4.5. Structural to functional decline

A central objective of this study was to examine the relationship between age, BOLD signal, and anatomy in order to further current understanding of brain senescence mechanisms. Despite the repeated observation that the degree of brain atrophy is generally a poor predictor of behavior, whether normal or impaired (Katzman et al., 1988), only a handful of studies (Nyberg et al., 2002) have examined aging of both brain structure and brain function, which may be a more sensitive and more representative measure of brain senescence, and perhaps also a better predictor of speech performance, than any measure (functional, anatomical) taken individually. As was discussed in the previous sections, we found significant functional and structural regional differences in multiple sensory and motor areas involved in producing and perceiving speech in healthy older adults. In addition to these changes, our results also revealed a direct or indirect relationship between structure and BOLD in all but 1 age-sensitive functionally defined ROI (aOTS). As described in the Methods section, these regions were identified based on the group results. When we examined their homologues in the other hemisphere, we noted that all homologues except the Opole showed a significant age difference in either thickness or volume; yet none exhibited age-related BOLD signal magnitude difference, indicating that, at this age, structural and functional changes are not necessarily connected, but that functional changes, when present, appear to co-occur with regional structural changes.

4.6. Brain–behavior relationship and the brain reserve hypothesis

In the present study, speech performance (repetition of audio-visual words in noise) was similar across groups, which suggests that the neural system supporting speech production is capable of coping with a certain amount of functional and structural brain changes. The only other study that examined speech production in aging using fMRI found both decreased and increased functional activations (in FMv, SMA-proper and prefrontal cortex) in older adults (Soros et al., 2011). Although these increased activations were interpreted as neural compensation, because no behavioral measure of speech production was reported, it is difficult to determine whether these hyperactivations really reflected compensatory mechanisms associated with better functional outcome or, instead, neural dedifferentiation (Heuninckx et al., 2008), that is, failure to recruit specialized neural mechanisms, a phenomenon that is not associated with better functional outcome. Resistance to cortical loss may indeed be the product of neural compensation/reorganization strategies (D’Esposito et al., 2009; Grady, 2009; Steffener Stern, 2012), which involve the use of additional brain mechanisms or alternative brain networks to counteract age-related structural decline and to maintain successful performance (Heuninckx et al., 2008; Stern, 2003). One possible explanation for these apparently divergent results is that, because participants were on average 10 years older in the study by Soros et al. compared to the present one, it is possible that they had already begun using compensatory strategies to counteract protracted structural decline that could no longer be sustained by the CNS. In contrast, our participants were, on average, 62 years old; hence they were only just entering older adulthood, and their CNS may still have been capable of coping with early effect of brain senescence. Although further studies are necessary to explore factors that may trigger behavioral decline (e.g., age, global health factors, expertise), our results are generally consistent with the hypothesis of a “brain reserve capacity” (Satz, 1993; Steffener Stern, 2012; Stern, 2002, 2003). This hypothesis stems from the repeated observation that degree of brain atrophy is generally a poor predictor of behavior, whether normal or impaired (Katzman et al., 1988). Indeed, functional brain systems appear to be able to sustain different levels of normal age-related brain atrophy. In the present study, we found only limited evidence of compensatory processes, and no behavioral disadvantage for older compared to younger adults, consistent with the notion of brain reserve.

5. Conclusion

The present results provide novel and exciting findings about normative neurobiological aging processes occurring in the cortical network involved in verbal communication. Our results show regional variations in brain structure, task-specific decrease and increase in the magnitude of functional activation in the cortical network that supports speech functions, and a complex relationship between structural and functional mechanisms. Further studies are needed to identify these factors, such as global health, life style, environment and genetics, and age-related cognitive and motor decline, that contribute to the etiology of age-related cortical and behavioral changes affecting the speech system; this knowledge is critical to understanding normative brain aging mechanisms and interindividual differences in the course and quality of aging. Knowledge about the functional and neurobiological factors contributing to the etiology of speech difficulties at older ages is necessary to lay the foundation for the development of new interventions to prevent, slow down, or reverse age-related speech difficulties.
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