```
Problem 1 (right tail test)
```

I.-

| U.S. Plants | Japanese <br> Plants |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 . 1 1 \%}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{3 . 5 2 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 6 \%}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{8 . 0 0 \%}$ | 9 | $\mathbf{4 . 9 1 \%} \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 . 8 7 \%}$ | 7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 7 7 \%}$ | 4 | $\mathbf{3 . 2 2 \%}$ | 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=5 \\
& n_{2}=4 \\
& \rightarrow n=9 \\
& \alpha=0.05
\end{aligned}
$$

Nonparametric Test: Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test
A.

Ho: The population distribution of turnover rates of US Plants and Japanese Plants are identical
Ha: The population Distribution of turnover rates of US Plants is shifted to the right of that of the Japanese Plants

Test Statistics: $\quad T=T_{2}=11$
Rejection Region: $\quad T_{2} \leq T_{L} \rightarrow T_{2} \leq 13$
Decision: Reject Ho at $\alpha=0.05$
Conclusion : There is enough evidence to conclude that the population distribution of turnover rates of US Plants is shifted to the right of that of Japanese Plants.

| U.S. Plants | Japanese <br> Plants |  | $n_{1}=5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 . 1 1 \%}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{3 . 5 2 \%}$ | 3 |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 6 \%}$ | 6 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 \%}$ | 1 |
| $\mathbf{8 . 0 0 \%}$ | 9 | $n_{2}=4$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6 . 8 7 \%}$ | 7 | $\mathbf{3 . 2 1 \%} \%$ | 5 |
| $\mathbf{4 . 7 7 \%}$ | 4 | 2 | $\rightarrow n=9$ |
| $T_{1}=34$ |  |  |  |

B. Ho: The population distribution of turnover rates of

US Plants and Japanese Plants are identical
Ha: The population Distribution of turnover rates of US Plants is shifted either to the right or to the left of that of the Japanese Plants
Test Statistics: $\quad T=T_{2}=11$
Rejection Region: $T \leq T_{L}$ or $T \geq T_{U}$
$\rightarrow T \leq 12$ or $T \geq 28$
Decision: Reject Ho at $\alpha=0.05$
Conclusion : There is enough evidence to conclude that the population distribution of turnover rates of US Plants is shifted either to the right or to the left of that of Japanese Plants.
II.-

| City | Corporate Lawyers | Lawyers with Law Firms |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Atlanta | 45,500 | 45,500 |
| Chicago | 43,000 | 48,000 |
| Cincinnati | 43,500 | 45,000 |
| Dallas/Ft. Worth | 49,500 | 46,500 |
| Los Angeles | 47,000 | 60,000 |
| Milwaukee | 37,500 | 50,000 |
| Minneapolis/St. Paul | 47,500 | 43,500 |
| New York | 43,500 | 54,000 |
| Pittsburgh | 42,000 | 44,000 |
| San Francisco | 47,500 | 59,500 |

Nonparametric Test: Wilcoxon Rank Sign Test

| City | Corporate Lawyers | Lawyers with Law Firms | Differences | Abs(dif) | Ranks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | 45,500 | 45,500 | 0 | 0 | ELIMINATED |
| Chicago | 43,000 | 48,000 | -5,000 | 5,000 | 5 |
| Cincinnati | 43,500 | 45,000 | -1,500 | 1,500 | 1 |
| Dallas/Ft. Worth | 49,500 | 46,500 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3 |
| Los Angeles | 47,000 | 60,000 | -13,000 | 13,000 | 9 |
| Milwauke | 37,500 | 50,000 | -12,500 | 12,500 | 8 |
| Minneapolis/St. Paul | 47,500 | 43,500 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4 |
| New York | 43,500 | 54,000 | -10,500 | 10,500 | 6 |
| Pittsburgh | 42,000 | 44,000 | -2,000 | 2,000 | 2 |
| San Francisco | 47,500 | 59,500 | -12,000 | 12,000 | 7 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & =9 \\ & =7 \\ & =38 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

Ho: The population distribution of salaries of corporate lawyers and Law firm lawyers are identical
Ha : The population Distribution of salaries of corporate lawyers is shifted to the right of that of the law firm lawyers

Test Statistics: $\quad T=T_{-}=38$
Rejection Region: $\quad T \leq T_{0} \rightarrow T \leq 8$
Decision: Fail to Reject Ho at $\alpha=0.05$
Conclusion : There is not enough evidence to conclude that the population distribution of salaries of corporate lawyers is shifted to the right of that of the law firm lawyers .
There is not enough evidence to conclude that the salaries of corporate lawyers tend to be greater than the salaries of law firm lawyers.

| Short | Rank | Mediu <br> m | Rank | Tall | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 68 | 14 |
| 27 | 5.5 | 27 | 5.5 | 71 | 15 |
| 26 | 4 | 35 | 7 | 57 | 10 |
| 39 | 8 | 44 | 9 | 60 | 12 |
| 22 | 2 | 58 | 11 | 62 | 13 |
| $n_{1}=5$ | $R_{1}=20.5$ | $n_{2}=5$ | $R_{2}=35.5$ | $n_{3}=5$ | $R_{3}=64$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow n=15 \\
& \alpha=0.05
\end{aligned}
$$

Ho : The three population distributions are identical
Ha: At least two of the population distribution differ in location

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Test Statistics: } \\
& \qquad H=\frac{12}{n(n+1)}\left[\sum\left(\frac{R_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}\right)\right]-3(n+1)=\frac{12}{15(15+1)}\left[\frac{20.5^{2}}{5}+\frac{35.5^{2}}{5}+\frac{64^{2}}{5}\right]-3(15+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
H=9.765
$$

Rejection Region: $\quad H>5.99147$
Decision: Reject Ho at $\alpha=0.05$
Conclusion : There is enough evidence to conclude that at least two of the population distribution differ in location; golfer's height influence the distance

