

## Mathematical Economics Exam #2, November 3, 2015

I. Consider the problem of maximizing  $u(x, y) = x - e^{-y}$  subject to the constraints  $x, y \geq 0$  and  $x + y \leq 10$ .

a) Is constraint qualification satisfied?

**Answer:** The derivative of the constraints is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

At most two of the constraints can bind. Since all rows are non-zero and any two rows are independent, the rank will equal the number of binding constraints. NDCQ is satisfied.

b) Find the solution to the maximization problem.

**Answer:** The Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L} = x - e^{-y} - \lambda_0(x + y - 10) + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y$ . The first-order conditions are:

$$0 = 1 - \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \quad (1)$$

$$0 = e^{-y} - \lambda_0 + \lambda_2 \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) tells us  $\lambda_0 = 1 + \lambda_1 \geq 1$ . It follows that  $x + y = 10$  by complementary slackness.

There are now three cases to consider: 1)  $x = 0, y = 10$ ; 2)  $x = 10, y = 0$ ; 3)  $x, y > 0$ .

In case (1),  $\lambda_2 = 0$  by complementary slackness, so  $\lambda_0 = e^{-10} < 1$ . This violates equation (1). There is no solution here.

In case (2),  $\lambda_1 = 0$  by complementary slackness, so  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . Using equation (2), we find  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . This is a possible solution.

In case (3),  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  by complementary slackness. It follows that  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . Then equation (2) becomes  $e^{-y} = 1$ , so  $y = 0$ , contradicting  $y > 0$  in this case.

It follows that the only solution is  $(x, y) = (10, 0)$  with  $u(10, 0) = 9$ .

2. Let  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + 5y + z^3 - 10$ .

a) Find an  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  satisfying  $f(x_0, y_0, z_0) = 0$ .

**Answer:** The point  $(2, 1, 1)$  works. Other solutions are possible, such as  $(1, 2, -1)$  (where the IFT can be used) and  $(0, 2, 0)$  (where the IFT fails).

b) Can  $x$  be expressed as a function  $g(y, z)$  in some neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ ?

**Answer:** Since  $\partial f/\partial x = 2x$ ,  $(\partial f/\partial x)(2, 1, 1) = 4$  The Implicit Function Theorem yields such a function  $g$ . Alternatively, note that  $g(y, z) = +(10 - 3y - z^3)^{1/2}$  works.

c) Compute  $dg$ .

**Answer:** By the Implicit Function Theorem,

$$dg = -\frac{1}{2x} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{1}{4}(5, 3z^2).$$

At  $(2, 1, 1)$ , this has the value  $(-5/4, -3/4)$ .

3. Let  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + xy - 2y^2 + z^2 + 2yz$ . Find all critical points of  $f$  and classify them (local max, local min, saddlepoint, other).

**Answer:** The first-order conditions are

$$0 = 2x + y$$

$$0 = x - 4y + 2z$$

$$0 = 2z + 2y.$$

We can use the last equation to eliminate  $z = -y$ . The equations then become

$$0 = 2x + y$$

$$0 = x - 6y.$$

The only critical point is  $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$ . We now consider the Hessian

$$H = d^2f = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first two leading principal minors are  $H_1 = 2$ ,  $H_2 = -9$ .  $H_2 < 0$  is not consistent with either  $H$  being positive definite or negative definite. (Although we didn't need it,  $H_3 = -26$ .) We conclude  $H$  is indefinite and that we have a saddlepoint. In fact  $f(\varepsilon, 0, 0) = \varepsilon^2 > 0$  and  $f(0, \varepsilon, 0) = -2\varepsilon^2 < 0$ , showing that  $(0, 0, 0)$  is neither a local maximum nor local minimum.

4. Consider the quadratic form  $Q(x, y, z) = x^2 + 4xy + 2y^2 + 6yz - z^2$  with constraint  $x + y = 0$ .

a) Find a symmetric matrix that defines this quadratic form.

**Answer:** The matrix that defines the quadratic form is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

b) Does the quadratic form have a constrained maximum, minimum, or saddlepoint at  $(0, 0, 0)$ ?

**Answer:** We form the bordered Hessian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are 3 variables ( $n = 3$ ) and one linear constraint ( $m = 1$ ), so we look at the last  $n - m = 2$  leading principal minors. They are  $H_3 = +1$  and  $H_4 = 8$ . Since  $(-1)^m H_4 = (-1)^n H_4 = -8 < 0$ , the quadratic form fails both the tests for positive definiteness and negative definiteness on the constraint set. As  $H_4$  is non-zero, we may conclude that  $H$  is indefinite on the constraint set and that  $(0, 0, 0)$  is a saddlepoint.