

Homework #2

3.3.13 Let u be a continuous utility function and \mathcal{P} be a partition of goods. Suppose there is a continuous function v that is increasing in each argument and continuous subutility functions u_P defined on each \mathbf{x}_P with $u(\mathbf{x}) = v[(u_P(\mathbf{x}_P))_{P \in \mathcal{P}}]$. Show that u is weakly separable relative to \mathcal{P} .

Answer: We must show that u induces an order on each commodity group $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}_{i=1}^K$. Now suppose $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{P_1}, \mathbf{x}_{\sim P_1}) \succsim \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_{P_1}, \mathbf{x}_{\sim P_1})$. Let $u_i = u_{P_i}(\mathbf{x}_{P_i})$. We can then write this preference in utility terms as

$$v(u_1, \dots, u_K) \geq v(u_{P_1}(\mathbf{y}_{P_1}), u_2, \dots, u_K)$$

Since v is increasing in argument 1, this is equivalent to $u_1 = u_{P_1}(\mathbf{x}_{P_1}) \geq u_{P_1}(\mathbf{y}_{P_1})$. The actual values of u_2, \dots, u_K don't matter here, so v induces an order on P_1 . In fact, $\mathbf{x} \succsim_{P_1} \mathbf{y}$ if and only if $u_1 = u_{P_1}(\mathbf{x}_{P_1}) \geq u_{P_1}(\mathbf{y}_{P_1})$.

By repeating for every P_i , we find that v induces an order on each P_i . In other words, it is weakly separable relative to $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i\}$.

6.1.4 Show that when utility is homothetic, every cross-price elasticity $\varepsilon_{k\ell}$ is independent of income.

Answer: Proposition 2.3 shows $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, m) = m\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, 1)$. Let $\varphi(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, 1)$, so $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p}, m) = m\varphi(\mathbf{p})$. Then $\partial x_k / \partial p_\ell = m \partial \varphi_k / \partial p_\ell$. The cross-price elasticity is then $\varepsilon_{k\ell} = (p_\ell / \varphi_k(\mathbf{p})) \partial \varphi_k / \partial p_\ell$, which is independent of m .

6.1.6 For the Stone-Geary utility function:

- a) Compute the price elasticities of demand and determine their sign.
- b) Compute the income elasticity of demand.
- c) Under what conditions (if any) will good ℓ be a normal good? Under what conditions will it be a luxury good?

Answer: The Marshallian demands for Stone-Geary utility

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\ell} (x_{\ell} - a_{\ell})^{\gamma_{\ell}}$$

with $\gamma_{\ell} > 0$ and $\sum_{\ell} \gamma_{\ell} = 1$ are $x_{\ell}(\mathbf{p}, m) = a_{\ell} + (\gamma_{\ell} / p_{\ell})(m - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a})$ when $m \geq \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}$. They are undefined otherwise.

a) The own-price elasticity is

$$\varepsilon_{\ell\ell} = -\gamma_{\ell} \frac{p_{\ell} a_{\ell} + m - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{p_{\ell} a_{\ell} + \gamma_{\ell} (m - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a})},$$

which is negative.

As for the cross-price elasticities, for $k \neq \ell$,

$$\varepsilon_{\ell k} = -\frac{\gamma_k p_k a_k}{p_{\ell} a_{\ell} + \gamma_k (m - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a})} < 0.$$

The cross-price elasticity is also negative.

b) The income elasticity is

$$\eta_k = \frac{m \gamma_k}{p_k a_k + \gamma_k (m - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a})}.$$

c) Now $\eta_k < 1$ when $p_k a_k > \gamma_k \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ and $\eta_k > 1$ when $p_k a_k < \gamma_k \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{a}$. The income elasticity depends on whether the \mathbf{a} -cost share of k is greater or smaller than its utility share (γ_k).

6.3.2 Suppose utility has the Cobb-Douglas form $u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k \gamma_k \ln x_k$ where each $\gamma_k > 0$ and $\sum_k \gamma_k = 1$. Show that indirect utility is additive separable.

Answer: The Cobb-Douglas demands are $x_k = \gamma_k m / p_k$. Then indirect utility is

$$v(\mathbf{p}, m) = \left(\sum_k \gamma_k \ln \gamma_k \right) + \sum_k \gamma_k \ln \left(\frac{m}{p_k} \right),$$

which is also in Cobb-Douglas form, albeit with a different constant term.

To have the same constant term would require $\sum_k \gamma_k \ln \gamma_k = 0$, or in other words $\prod_k \gamma_k^{\gamma_k} = 1$, which cannot happen as all γ_k obey $0 < \gamma_k < 1$.

6.3.3 Suppose utility is given by $u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \alpha_{\ell} x_{\ell}^{\gamma}$ where each $\alpha_{\ell} > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$.

Compute the indirect utility function and show that it fails to be additive separable as defined in section 6.3.1. Then explain what equivalent indirect utility is additive separable.

Answer: The first-order conditions are $\lambda p_{\ell} = \alpha_{\ell} \gamma x_{\ell}^{\gamma-1}$. As usual, divide the first-order condition for k by that for ℓ to eliminate λ . This yields

$$\frac{p_k}{p_{\ell}} = \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_{\ell}} \left(\frac{x_k}{x_{\ell}} \right)^{\gamma-1}$$

We solve for x_k in terms of x_ℓ .

$$x_k = \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell p_k}{\alpha_k p_\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} x_\ell.$$

Then we multiply by p_k and sum over all $k = 1, \dots, L$ and use the budget constraint.

$$m = \left(\frac{p_\ell}{\alpha_\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \left(\sum_k \left(\frac{p_k^\gamma}{\alpha_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \right) x_\ell.$$

Rearranging, we find

$$x_\ell = m \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell}{p_\ell} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \bigg/ \sum_k \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$$

Finally, we substitute back in u to obtain indirect utility v .

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathbf{p}, m) &= m^\gamma \left(\sum_\ell \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell}{p_\ell^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \right) \left(\sum_k \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{p_k^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \right)^{-\gamma} \\ &= m^\gamma \left(\sum_\ell \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell}{p_\ell^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \right)^{1-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

When $m = 1$ this is the $(1 - \gamma)$ power of an additive separable function with $0 < \gamma < 1$. It is not additive separable. However, if we had used $f(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^L \alpha_\ell x_\ell^\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$ as our utility function, we would have obtained the additive separable indirect utility

$$v(\mathbf{p}, m) = \sum_\ell \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell m^\gamma}{p_\ell^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}.$$