

### Grading HW 3 and partial key - Feb 14, 2011 - S Hudson

Note from Feb 2, 2014: I don't recall 2011 very well, but apparently I was grading HW back then, at least briefly. We were using a different edition of our text then, so I have edited this page slightly for that. Probably the grading system is of little interest, but the answers below may be worthwhile.

15 points = did you try Ch 1.6 ? [I didn't look for mistakes on this one]

15 points = did you try the last three problems in Ch 3.2 ?

20 points = Ch. 2.2.15 graded carefully

20 points = Ch. 3.1.7 graded carefully

30 points = completeness, staple, etc

Comments, Answers:

2.2.15; Assume  $AB = I$ , with both  $n \times n$ . This does *not* imply  $B = A^{-1}$  yet, because we don't know that  $BA = I$ . We need to prove that, and may need to prove  $A^{-1}$  exists first. Since we are in ch2, we may need some dets.

Proof:  $\det(A) \cdot \det(B) = \det(AB) = \det(I) = 1$ . This shows  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , which implies  $A^{-1}$  exists. So, we can use it on both sides;  $A^{-1}AB = A^{-1}I$ . This simplifies to  $B = A^{-1}$ . Done.

3.1.7; We need to show  $V$  can't contain two different vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{v}_2$ , that both satisfy the definition of the zero vector;  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{x}$ . But these equations imply  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}_2$ , and (using  $-\mathbf{x}$ ) that  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$ . So these two 'zero vectors' are actually the same.

More remarks on this: it is OK to use the notation  $\mathbf{0}$  instead of  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and maybe  $\mathbf{0}_2$  instead of  $\mathbf{v}_2$ . Also, I was vague about what  $\mathbf{x}$  stands for - I imagine it to be some other vector in  $V$ , but it isn't quite clear  $V$  has one! So, a slightly better proof would be;  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2$  (explain these eqns!).