

Interpolating between torsional rigidity and principal frequency (joint work with Jesse Ratzkin)

Tom Carroll

University College Cork

Carthage, 24th May 2010

Principal frequency and torsional rigidity

D is a bounded domain in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

Principal frequency and torsional rigidity

D is a bounded domain in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

Fundamental frequency / bass note / first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian

$\lambda(D)$ is

$$\lambda(D) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\int_D u(x)^2 dx} : u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

Rayleigh Quotient

Principal frequency and torsional rigidity

D is a bounded domain in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

Fundamental frequency / bass note / first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian

$\lambda(D)$ is

$$\lambda(D) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\int_D u(x)^2 dx} : u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

Rayleigh Quotient

Torsional rigidity $P(D)$ is

$$\frac{4}{P(D)} = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x) dx\right)^2} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

$\mathcal{C}_p(D)$

We study a p -version of these minimisation problems for $1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($p < \infty$ in dimension 2):

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \inf \left\{ \Phi_p(u) = \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x)^p dx\right)^{2/p}} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

$\mathcal{C}_p(D)$

We study a p -version of these minimisation problems for $1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($p < \infty$ in dimension 2):

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \inf \left\{ \Phi_p(u) = \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x)^p dx\right)^{2/p}} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

- $p = 1 \iff$ torsional rigidity $4/P(D)$

$\mathcal{C}_p(D)$

We study a p -version of these minimisation problems for $1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($p < \infty$ in dimension 2):

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \inf \left\{ \Phi_p(u) = \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x)^p dx\right)^{2/p}} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

- $p = 1 \iff$ torsional rigidity $4/P(D)$
- $p = 2 \iff$ principal frequency $\lambda(D)$

$\mathcal{C}_p(D)$

We study a p -version of these minimisation problems for $1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($p < \infty$ in dimension 2):

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \inf \left\{ \Phi_p(u) = \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x)^p dx\right)^{2/p}} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

- $p = 1 \iff$ torsional rigidity $4/P(D)$
- $p = 2 \iff$ principal frequency $\lambda(D)$
- What results for $\lambda(D)$ and $P(D)$ extend to $\mathcal{C}_p(D)$?

$\mathcal{C}_p(D)$

We study a p -version of these minimisation problems for $1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($p < \infty$ in dimension 2):

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \inf \left\{ \Phi_p(u) = \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x)^p dx\right)^{2/p}} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

- $p = 1 \iff$ torsional rigidity $4/P(D)$
- $p = 2 \iff$ principal frequency $\lambda(D)$
- What results for $\lambda(D)$ and $P(D)$ extend to $\mathcal{C}_p(D)$?
- What can $\mathcal{C}_p(D)$ tell us about the common properties of $\lambda(D)$ and $P(D)$?

$\mathcal{C}_p(D)$

We study a p -version of these minimisation problems for $1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($p < \infty$ in dimension 2):

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \inf \left\{ \Phi_p(u) = \frac{\int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx}{\left(\int_D u(x)^p dx\right)^{2/p}} : u > 0, u \in C_0^\infty(D) \right\}$$

- $p = 1 \longleftrightarrow$ torsional rigidity $4/P(D)$
- $p = 2 \longleftrightarrow$ principal frequency $\lambda(D)$
- What results for $\lambda(D)$ and $P(D)$ extend to $\mathcal{C}_p(D)$?
- What can $\mathcal{C}_p(D)$ tell us about the common properties of $\lambda(D)$ and $P(D)$?
- cf. recent arXiv posting by Q. Dai, R. He and H. Hu

Isoperimetric inequalities and sharp estimates for positive solutions of sublinear elliptic equations.

Euler-Lagrange equation

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $\Phi_p(u) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 / \|u\|_p^2$ is

$$\Delta\phi + \Lambda \phi^{p-1} = 0, \quad \phi|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Lane-Emden Equation

Euler-Lagrange equation

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $\Phi_p(u) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 / \|u\|_p^2$ is

$$\Delta\phi + \Lambda \phi^{p-1} = 0, \quad \phi|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Lane-Emden Equation

Pohožaev [Doklady Math. 1965] *proves* that the minimiser of Φ_p is a positive solution of this boundary value problem.

Euler-Lagrange equation

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $\Phi_p(u) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 / \|u\|_p^2$ is

$$\Delta\phi + \Lambda \phi^{p-1} = 0, \quad \phi|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Lane-Emden Equation

Pohožaev [Doklady Math. 1965] *proves* that the minimiser of Φ_p is a positive solution of this boundary value problem.

Unique positive solution for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ (see e.g. Dai, He & Hu).

Euler-Lagrange equation

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $\Phi_p(u) = \|\nabla u\|_2^2 / \|u\|_p^2$ is

$$\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0, \quad \phi|_{\partial D} = 0.$$

Lane-Emden Equation

Pohožaev [Doklady Math. 1965] *proves* that the minimiser of Φ_p is a positive solution of this boundary value problem.

Unique positive solution for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ (see e.g. Dai, He & Hu).

This agrees with the pde for the torsion function ($p = 1$)

$$\Delta\phi + 2 = 0$$

and the pde for the first eigenfunction for the Laplacian ($p = 2$)

$$\Delta\phi + \lambda\phi = 0.$$

p -torsional rigidity

Let ϕ be a unique positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$, $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. Then

$$C_p(D) = \Lambda \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(p-2)/p}$$

p -torsional rigidity

Let ϕ be a unique positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$, $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \Lambda \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(p-2)/p}$$

$p = 2$: then $\mathcal{C}_2(D) = \lambda(D) = \text{eigenvalue}$.

p -torsional rigidity

Let ϕ be a unique positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$, $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \Lambda \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(p-2)/p}$$

$p = 2$: then $\mathcal{C}_2(D) = \lambda(D) = \text{eigenvalue}$.

The p -torsional rigidity is defined to be

$$\mathcal{R}_p(D) = \frac{4}{\Lambda} \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(2-p)/p}$$

p -torsional rigidity

Let ϕ be a unique positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$, $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \Lambda \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(p-2)/p}$$

$p = 2$: then $\mathcal{C}_2(D) = \lambda(D) = \text{eigenvalue}$.

The p -torsional rigidity is defined to be

$$\mathcal{R}_p(D) = \frac{4}{\Lambda} \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(2-p)/p}$$

$p = 1$, $\Lambda = 2$: then $\mathcal{R}_1(D) = 2 \int_D \phi = \text{torsional rigidity}$.

p -torsional rigidity

Let ϕ be a unique positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$, $\phi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_p(D) = \Lambda \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(p-2)/p}$$

$p = 2$: then $\mathcal{C}_2(D) = \lambda(D) = \text{eigenvalue}$.

The p -torsional rigidity is defined to be

$$\mathcal{R}_p(D) = \frac{4}{\Lambda} \left(\int_D \phi^p \right)^{(2-p)/p}$$

$p = 1$, $\Lambda = 2$: then $\mathcal{R}_1(D) = 2 \int_D \phi = \text{torsional rigidity}$.

$$\mathcal{R}_p(D) \mathcal{C}_p(D) = 4$$

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

\mathcal{C}_p is monotonic in the domain: if $D_1 \subset D_2$ then $\mathcal{C}(D_1) \geq \mathcal{C}(D_2)$

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

\mathcal{C}_p is monotonic in the domain: if $D_1 \subset D_2$ then $\mathcal{C}(D_1) \geq \mathcal{C}(D_2)$

Scaling law: $\text{Vol}(rD)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(rD) = r^{n-2} \text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D)$.

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

\mathcal{C}_p is monotonic in the domain: if $D_1 \subset D_2$ then $\mathcal{C}(D_1) \geq \mathcal{C}(D_2)$

Scaling law: $\text{Vol}(rD)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(rD) = r^{n-2} \text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D)$.

A **special case**, $p = 1$, $q = 2$, dimension 2, is the following inequality from Pólya and Szegő:

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

\mathcal{C}_p is monotonic in the domain: if $D_1 \subset D_2$ then $\mathcal{C}(D_1) \geq \mathcal{C}(D_2)$

Scaling law: $\text{Vol}(rD)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(rD) = r^{n-2} \text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D)$.

A **special case**, $p = 1$, $q = 2$, dimension 2, is the following inequality from Pólya and Szegő:

$$A^2 \mathcal{C}_1(D) > A \mathcal{C}_2(D)$$

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

\mathcal{C}_p is monotonic in the domain: if $D_1 \subset D_2$ then $\mathcal{C}(D_1) \geq \mathcal{C}(D_2)$

Scaling law: $\text{Vol}(rD)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(rD) = r^{n-2} \text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D)$.

A **special case**, $p = 1$, $q = 2$, dimension 2, is the following inequality from Pólya and Szegő:

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 \mathcal{C}_1(D) &> A \mathcal{C}_2(D) \\ \implies A^2 \frac{4}{P(D)} &> A \lambda(D) \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity

Theorem

If $1 \leq p < q$ then

$$\text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D) > \text{Vol}(D)^{2/q} \mathcal{C}_q(D).$$

The inequality in this theorem is always strict.

\mathcal{C}_p is monotonic in the domain: if $D_1 \subset D_2$ then $\mathcal{C}(D_1) \geq \mathcal{C}(D_2)$

Scaling law: $\text{Vol}(rD)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(rD) = r^{n-2} \text{Vol}(D)^{2/p} \mathcal{C}_p(D)$.

A **special case**, $p = 1$, $q = 2$, dimension 2, is the following inequality from Pólya and Szegő:

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 \mathcal{C}_1(D) &> A \mathcal{C}_2(D) \\ \implies A^2 \frac{4}{P(D)} &> A \lambda(D) \\ \implies \boxed{\lambda(D) P(D) < 4A} \end{aligned}$$

Isoperimetric inequality

Among all regions of given volume the **ball** has the **largest** torsional rigidity
(**St. Venant's Principle**, proved by Pólya in 1950's)

Isoperimetric inequality

Among all regions of given volume the **ball** has the **largest** torsional rigidity (St. Venant's Principle, proved by Pólya in 1950's) and the **smallest** Dirichlet eigenvalue (Faber-Krahn Theorem 1920's).

Isoperimetric inequality

Among all regions of given volume the **ball** has the **largest** torsional rigidity (St. Venant's Principle, proved by Pólya in 1950's) and the **smallest** Dirichlet eigenvalue (Faber-Krahn Theorem 1920's).

Let D^* be a ball with the same volume as D .

Isoperimetric inequality

Among all regions of given volume the **ball** has the **largest** torsional rigidity (St. Venant's Principle, proved by Pólya in 1950's) and the **smallest** Dirichlet eigenvalue (Faber-Krahn Theorem 1920's).

Let D^* be a ball with the same volume as D . Then

$$C_1(D) = \frac{4}{P(D)} \geq \frac{4}{P(D^*)} = C_1(D^*)$$

Isoperimetric inequality

Among all regions of given volume the **ball** has the **largest** torsional rigidity (St. Venant's Principle, proved by Pólya in 1950's) and the **smallest** Dirichlet eigenvalue (Faber-Krahn Theorem 1920's).

Let D^* be a ball with the same volume as D . Then

$$C_1(D) = \frac{4}{P(D)} \geq \frac{4}{P(D^*)} = C_1(D^*)$$

$$C_2(D) = \lambda(D) \geq \lambda(D^*) = C_2(D^*)$$

Isoperimetric inequality

Among all regions of given volume the **ball** has the **largest** torsional rigidity (St. Venant's Principle, proved by Pólya in 1950's) and the **smallest** Dirichlet eigenvalue (Faber-Krahn Theorem 1920's).

Let D^* be a ball with the same volume as D . Then

$$C_1(D) = \frac{4}{P(D)} \geq \frac{4}{P(D^*)} = C_1(D^*)$$

$$C_2(D) = \lambda(D) \geq \lambda(D^*) = C_2(D^*)$$

Theorem

$$C_p(D) \geq C_p(D^*)$$

for $p \geq 1$, with equality if and only if D is a ball to start with.

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** \mathcal{C}_p .

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** C_p .
- Hersch proved $\lambda(D) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4R(D)^2}$ for fundamental frequency

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** C_p .
- Hersch proved $\lambda(D) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4R(D)^2}$ for fundamental frequency
- Sperb proved $u_M \leq R(D)^2$ for the maximum value of the torsion function

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** C_p .
- Hersch proved $\lambda(D) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4R(D)^2}$ for fundamental frequency
- Sperb proved $u_M \leq R(D)^2$ for the maximum value of the torsion function
- extremal domain is a strip for both Hersch and Sperb.

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** C_p .
- Hersch proved $\lambda(D) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4R(D)^2}$ for fundamental frequency
- Sperb proved $u_M \leq R(D)^2$ for the maximum value of the torsion function
- extremal domain is a strip for both Hersch and Sperb.
- Common generalisation: Let ϕ a positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$ on D , $\phi = 0$ on ∂D .

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** C_p .
- Hersch proved $\lambda(D) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4R(D)^2}$ for fundamental frequency
- Sperb proved $u_M \leq R(D)^2$ for the maximum value of the torsion function
- extremal domain is a strip for both Hersch and Sperb.
- Common generalisation: Let ϕ a positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$ on D , $\phi = 0$ on ∂D . Let $\phi_M = \max\{\phi(x) : x \in D\}$.

Convex regions

Inradius $R(D)$ = supremum radius of all balls contained in D .

- Among all bounded domains D of given inradius, the ball **maximizes** C_p .
- Hersch proved $\lambda(D) \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4R(D)^2}$ for fundamental frequency
- Sperb proved $u_M \leq R(D)^2$ for the maximum value of the torsion function
- extremal domain is a strip for both Hersch and Sperb.
- Common generalisation: Let ϕ a positive solution of $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1} = 0$ on D , $\phi = 0$ on ∂D . Let $\phi_M = \max\{\phi(x) : x \in D\}$. Then

$$\boxed{\phi_M^{2-p} \leq \frac{2\Lambda}{pA_p^2} R(D)^2} \quad \text{where } A_p = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^p}}$$

Equality in the case of a strip / slab.

Proof that $\phi_M^{2-p} \leq \text{const} \times R(D)^2$

We follow Section 6.2.2 of Sperb's book. Payne's P -function

$$v(x) = |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x),$$

assumes its maximum at the point where ϕ assumes its maximum.

Proof that $\phi_M^{2-p} \leq \text{const} \times R(D)^2$

We follow Section 6.2.2 of Sperb's book. Payne's P -function

$$v(x) = |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x),$$

assumes its maximum at the point where ϕ assumes its maximum.

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x) \leq \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi_M^p, \quad x \in D$$

Proof that $\phi_M^{2-p} \leq \text{const} \times R(D)^2$

We follow Section 6.2.2 of Sperb's book. Payne's P -function

$$v(x) = |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x),$$

assumes its maximum at the point where ϕ assumes its maximum.

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x) \leq \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi_M^p, \quad x \in D$$

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda}{p}} \sqrt{\phi_M^p - \phi^p(x)}, \quad x \in D.$$

Proof that $\phi_M^{2-p} \leq \text{const} \times R(D)^2$

We follow Section 6.2.2 of Sperb's book. Payne's P -function

$$v(x) = |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x),$$

assumes its maximum at the point where ϕ assumes its maximum.

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x) \leq \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi_M^p, \quad x \in D$$

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda}{p}} \sqrt{\phi_M^p - \phi^p(x)}, \quad x \in D.$$

Let $\delta_D(P)$ be the distance from the point P where ϕ assumes its maximum to the boundary of D and integrate along a line segment from P that terminates at a point on ∂D closest to P .

Proof that $\phi_M^{2-p} \leq \text{const} \times R(D)^2$

We follow Section 6.2.2 of Sperb's book. Payne's P -function

$$v(x) = |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x),$$

assumes its maximum at the point where ϕ assumes its maximum.

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi^p(x) \leq \frac{2\Lambda}{p}\phi_M^p, \quad x \in D$$

$$\implies |\nabla\phi(x)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\Lambda}{p}} \sqrt{\phi_M^p - \phi^p(x)}, \quad x \in D.$$

Let $\delta_D(P)$ be the distance from the point P where ϕ assumes its maximum to the boundary of D and integrate along a line segment from P that terminates at a point on ∂D closest to P . Then

$$R(D) \geq \delta_D(P) \geq \sqrt{\frac{p}{2\Lambda}} \phi_M^{(2-p)/2} A_p \quad \text{where } A_p = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^p}}.$$

Remarks

- We envision these interpolation results as the first step in a programme to use estimates of $\lambda(D)$ and the continuity method to get estimates of $P(D)$ (or vice versa).

Remarks

- We envision these interpolation results as the first step in a programme to use estimates of $\lambda(D)$ and the continuity method to get estimates of $P(D)$ (or vice versa).
- The PDE $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1}$ is well-studied and is still the topic of much current research.

Remarks

- We envision these interpolation results as the first step in a programme to use estimates of $\lambda(D)$ and the continuity method to get estimates of $P(D)$ (or vice versa).
- The PDE $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1}$ is well-studied and is still the topic of much current research.
- One should not expect to attain the infimum of the function Φ_p for the critical exponent $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$. This blow-up phenomenon reflects the loss of compactness in the Sobolev embedding.

Remarks

- We envision these interpolation results as the first step in a programme to use estimates of $\lambda(D)$ and the continuity method to get estimates of $P(D)$ (or vice versa).
- The PDE $\Delta\phi + \Lambda\phi^{p-1}$ is well-studied and is still the topic of much current research.
- One should not expect to attain the infimum of the function Φ_p for the critical exponent $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$. This blow-up phenomenon reflects the loss of compactness in the Sobolev embedding.
- **Thanks for listening!**