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- History and utilities
- Results on existence and regularity of solutions
- in bounded domains
- in unbounded domains


## Equations of Monge-Ampère type

- A real Monge-Ampère equation is a fully nonlinear second order partial differential equation of special kind:

$$
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=f(x, u, D u)
$$

$x$ : a variable with values in $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$
u: a function defined over a domain $\Omega$ of $I^{n}$

- When $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{1}$, an entire convex solution must be a quadratic polynomial
(by Calabi for $\mathrm{n} \leq 5$ and Pogorolov for n )


## Geometric interpretations, the Weyl and Minkowski problem

$>$ Monge-Ampère equations arises naturally in several problems in Riemannian geometry, conformal geometry, and CR geometry.
$>$ The simplest application is the problem of prescribed Gauss curvature:

Suppose a real valued function K is specified on a domain $\Omega$ in $\operatorname{IR}^{n}$, we seek to identify a hypersurface of $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ as a graph: $\mathbf{z = u ( x )}$ over $x \in \Omega$ so that:

$$
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=K(x)\left(1+|D u|^{2}\right)^{(n+2) / 2}
$$

> If $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is the equation of a hypersurface $\sum$ of $\mathrm{R}^{3}$,

$$
\operatorname{det} D^{2} u=u_{x x} u_{y y}-u_{x y}=k_{1}(x, y) k_{2}(x, y)
$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}$, are the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathbf{u}$, the point ( $x, y$ ) is

- elliptic when $\mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{2}>0$, in that case $u$ is convex near ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ )
- hyperbolic when $\mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{2}<0$
- parabolic when $D^{2} u \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{k}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{2}=\mathbf{0}$

$>$ Denote by $\mathbf{N}$ the unite normal to the surface:
$\Sigma: \mathbf{z = u}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$,

$$
N=1 /\left(1+|D u|^{2}\right)(-D u, 1)
$$

$>$ Principle curvatuves $\mathbf{k}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ are the eigenvalues of the Weirgarten map (shape form map),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W}: \mathbf{T}_{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})} & \sum \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})} \sum \\
\mathrm{v} & \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathbf{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ The Gauss curvature is defined by:

$$
K=k_{1} k_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(D^{2} u\right) /\left(1+|D u|^{2}\right)^{(n+2) / 2}
$$

$>$ When $K>0,(x, y)$ is elliptic and if $k_{1}>0, k_{2}>0$, the surface is convex near ( $x, y$ )
$\Rightarrow$ When $\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{k}_{2},(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is called spherical point

Osculator circle to a curve at a point having principle curvature $k>0$

$R=1 / k$

An elliptic point
> The Minkowski problem (1903) goes as follows:

Given f defined on $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, find a strictly convex surface: $\sum \subset \mid \mathrm{R}^{3}$ such that the Gauss curvature of $\sum$ at the point $x$ equals $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}))$


* When $f(x)$ is identically equal to the constant: $1 / R^{2}$, the solution would be the sphere of radius $R$



## $>$ The Weyl problem (1916) goes as follows:

Given a Riemannien metric $\mathbf{g}$ on $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{2}}$ having Gauss curvature $K>0$, we seek a regular isometric embedding wich image is a convex hypersurface $\sum$ :

$$
\mathrm{X}:\left(\mathrm{S}^{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{IR}^{3}, \mathrm{~h}\right)
$$

h : the standard plane metric


## History and utilities

> For the Minkowski problem: (1903)

* Minkowski prouved the uniqueness and tried to prove existence of such surface as a limit of polyhedrons
* 1938, Lewy, under hypothesis of analicity of K, proved the existence and uniqueness of the required surface
* 1971, Pogorelov studied the generalized Minkowski problem consisting in finding a convex closed hypersurface in $\operatorname{IR}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with prescribed Gauss curvature K
$>$ For the Weyl problem (1916):
* Weyl tried to solve it by classical method of continuity based on à priori estimations of holderian norms of smooth solutions
* 1962, Niremberg completed this proof and solve the existance problem
* 1948, Alexandroff obtained a generalized solution to Weyl problem as a limit of polyhedrons.
* 1949, Pogorelov proved the regularity of Alexandroff solution
* 1984, Oliker, generalized Weyl problem to dimention n:

Det $D^{2} u=K(x)\left(1+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{(n+2) / 2}$

## Utilities in Physics

* 1850, Joseph Antoine Ferdinand Plateu,

Plateau's Problem: Determine the shape of the minimal surface constrained by a given boundary, there arizes then the question:

Is there a convex surface with prescribed Gauss curvature a constant $\mathrm{K}>0$ and its boundary is a Jordan curve?

* Caffarelli, Nirembeg, Spruck in 1984, prouved existance an unicity of such surface
a belgian physist.


The surface $\sum$ of the sop film is submitted to the homogenous presser of the air

* 1992, Hoffman, Rosenberg, Spruck, generalized that result for graphs above rings planes ( $\Sigma$ is not global convex)
* 2004, Guan and Spruck, proved that two prallel planes limit a K-surface which topology is of the ring

* In geometrical optic it rises these two following related problems:
- Given the light intensity I of a light ray $R$, find the wave surface $\sum$ :
the wave surface $\sum$ is orthogonal

Can burn for bad Gauss curvature of surface $\Sigma$
 to the light beam and the light intensity at point $A$ is proportional to the Gauss curvature of $\sum$ at point A :

I=cK

- Suppose a homogenous light source is located at the origin, I(m) the intensity of this light source in the direction $m$ and suppose that a ray reaches the surface $\Sigma$ at a point $r(m)$ and is reflected in the direction $y(m)$. The law of reflection is:

$$
y(m)=m-2(m \mid N) N
$$

Which defines a function y from $S^{1}$ into $I^{3}$. The intesity of the reflected light is given by:

$$
l(y(m))=I(m) / \operatorname{det}\left(D^{2} y\right)
$$

- Thus arises that question: how do we reconstruct a surface $\sum$ from the light rays reflected? (Oliker)

principle of the device antenna reflector considered by some engineers is also linked to this type of equation


## Results on existence and regularity of solutions

$>$ Case when $\Omega$ is a bounded domain :
We consider then the Dirichlet problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Det } D^{2} u=f(x, u, D u)>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
\mathbf{u}=\phi & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

- 2008, N.S. Trudinger, X.J.Wang,
if $f=f(x), \Omega$ is a convex domain in $\operatorname{IR}^{n}, \partial \Omega \in \mathrm{C}^{3}, \phi \in \mathrm{C}^{3}(\bar{\Omega})$,
inf $f>0$ and $f \in \mathrm{C}^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ then there exists a convex solution $u$ :

$$
|\mathrm{u}|_{2, \alpha} \leq \mathrm{C}
$$

- 1999, P. Guan, N.S. Trudinger, X.J.Wang: if $f=f(x) \geq 0$, $\partial \Omega, \phi \in \mathrm{C}^{3,1}$ and $\mathrm{f}^{1 / n-1} \in \mathrm{C}^{1,1}$, then u exists
* when $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u})>\mathbf{0}$,
- 1984, L.Caffarelli, L.Niremberg, J.Spruk, If there exists a subsolution

$$
\underline{\mathbf{u}}: \operatorname{det} D^{2} \mathbf{u} \geq \mathbf{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \underline{\mathbf{u}}=\phi \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

and $\Omega$ is a convex domain, there exists a unique solution u :

$$
|u|_{2, \alpha} \leq C
$$

- 1998, B.Guan, generalized the latter work to non convex domains, nevertheless had no result of existance of subsolutions
- 2004, B.Guan, obtained some good results for infinit boundary value even in unbounded domains
$>$ Case where the domain is unbounded:
* 1996, K.S.Chou, X.J.wang,
when $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ and $0<\mathrm{c}_{1} \leq \mathrm{f} \leq \mathrm{c}_{2}$, there exist
infinitely many entire convexe solutions to the MA equations in $\mathrm{IR}^{n}$
- Existance of entire convex solutions for any given positive function $f$ is still open problem
* 2002, F.Finster, O.C.Shurer,

If there exists a subsolution $\underline{u}$ close to a cone, there exists a hypersurface of prescribed Gauss curvature in an exterior domain which is close to a cone: $|\mathbf{x}| \leq \mathbf{u} \leq|\mathbf{x}|+\varphi$


Has a derivative not bounded near 0
> 2010, Lamia Bel Kenani Toukabri, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 363 (2010) 596-605, jointed work with Saoussen KallelJallouli published the following result of existence of entire solution for $\mathbf{n} \geq 3$,
if $f>0$ in $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times I R \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We prove the existence of convex solutions, provided there exists a subsolution of the form $\underline{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{x}|^{2}$ and a superharmonic bounded positive function $\varphi$ satisfying:

$$
f \geq(2 a+\Delta \varphi / n)^{n} .
$$

- The hypothesis on f is so that

$$
a|x|^{2} \leq u \leq a|x|^{2}+\varphi
$$

and $\mathbf{D}^{2} \mathbf{u}$ is uniformly bounded,
with $\varphi$ superharmonic bounded positive function
then the graph of the surface $\sum$ is close to a parabolïd
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