

HW 1:

- ② Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$. Need to show that there $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ with $a < x < b$.

Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} , there we can find $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq b$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Then exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$0 < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} < \frac{q_2 - q_1}{2}. \quad (\text{Archimedean Property})$$

Let $x = q_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$, then $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ (otherwise $(x - q_1)n = \varepsilon$ would be in \mathbb{Q}).

and $a < x < b$.

- ③ Let $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_n = a_0 - \frac{1}{n}$.

Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} , then there exists $r_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (a_n, a_0)$.

Consider the set $E = \{r_n, r_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (a_n, a_0), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Then a_0 is an upper bound for E and in fact

$$a_0 = \sup E.$$

Indeed, if $b < a_0$, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < a_0 - b$. Then $b < a_0 - \frac{1}{N} < r_N$ and $r_N \in E$. Hence b is not an upper bound for E .

⑧ $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable.

[In general we have the following the following
Theorem (Cantor) Let E be a set. Then there is no
surjective function from E to 2^E

Proof: Let $f: E \rightarrow 2^E$ be a function. Let

$X = \{e \in E : e \notin f(e)\}$. Then $X \in 2^E$.

If f were surjective, then there would be $x \in E$
such that $X = f(x)$. This would mean that

~~$x \notin X$~~ if $x \notin X = f(x)$, then $x \in X$ by definition of X)

This is a contradiction and X has no preimage and
 f is not surjective. \square

It follows that there is no surjective function from \mathbb{N}
to $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and so $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable.

$\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable.

Proof 1: Let $E \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ (E subset of \mathbb{N}). We can find
a function $f_E: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_E(\mathbb{N}) = E$.

[for example define $f_E(e) = e$ for $e \in E$ and

$f_E(n) = e_1$, for any $n \notin E$, where e_1 is the smallest element of

Consider the function $\Phi: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$: $\Phi(E) = f_E$.

Then Φ is one to one (because $f_E(\mathbb{N}) = E \neq f_F(\mathbb{N}) = F$ are
distinct if $E \neq F$).

Thus $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is equipotent to $\Phi(2^{\mathbb{N}}) \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$
Hence $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not countable

Proof 2: By contradiction. Suppose that $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is countable.
we can write

$$\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}.$$

Define a function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows.

$$g(1) \neq f_1(1) \quad \text{so that } g \neq f_1$$

$$g(2) \neq f_2(2) \quad \text{so that } g \neq f_2 \quad \dots$$

$$g(n) \neq f_n(n) \quad \text{so that } g \neq f_n \quad \text{for all } n.$$

The function $g \notin \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ but $g \neq f_n \quad \forall n$. Contradiction.

(10) $[0, 1)$ and $(0, 1)$ are equipotent.

$$\text{Let } E = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots \right\}.$$

$$\text{Define } f: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1] \text{ by } f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \notin E \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} & \text{if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

Note that $f(E) = E \cup \{1\}$ and $f((0, 1) \setminus E) = (0, 1) \setminus E$

$$\text{Hence } f((0, 1)) = (0, 1]. \quad \neq \neq$$

f is one to one on $(0, 1) \setminus E$ (it is the identity function)
and $f: E \rightarrow E \cup \{1\}$ is a bijection. Therefore

$$f: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1] \text{ is a bijection.}$$

$$g: (0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1) ; g(x) = 1 - x \text{ is a bijection.}$$

⑪ $(0, 1)^2$ is equipotent to $(0, 1)$

Define $f: (0, 1)^2 \rightarrow (0, 1)$ as follows:

For $(x, y) \in (0, 1)^2$, we use the decimal representation to express x and y as,

$$\begin{aligned}x &= 0. x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots \\y &= 0. y_1 y_2 y_3 \dots\end{aligned} \quad (x_j, y_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\})$$

Define $f(x, y)$ by

$$f(x, y) = 0. x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots$$

It can be verified that f is surjective. Therefore,

$$(0, 1)^2 \cong f[(0, 1)^2] \subset (0, 1).$$

Now we can define $g: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)^2$ by $g(x) = (x, \frac{1}{2})$
 g is injective. Therefore $(0, 1) \cong g(0, 1) \subset (0, 1)^2$.

It follows that $(0, 1) \cong (0, 1)^2$.

⑫ Define a function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ as follows:

Let $x \in E$. Since E consists of only isolated points, there we can find $\delta_x > 0$ such that

$$(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x) \cap E = \{x\}$$

and $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x) \cap (y - \delta_y, y + \delta_y) = \emptyset$ if x, y are distinct points of E .

Let $q_x \in (x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ (such q_x exists because \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R}). Set

$$f(x) = q_x$$

The function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ thus defined is injective.

[if $x, y \in E$, $x \neq y$, then $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x) \cap (y - \delta_y, y + \delta_y) = \emptyset$ and so $q_x \neq q_y$].

We have then $E \cong f(E) \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is countable, there is $f(E)$ and E .

(17) • $E \text{ open} \iff \text{int}(E) = E$

It follows from the definition of interior point that $\text{int}(E)$ is an open subset of E . Now suppose E is open and $x \in E$, then there exists $r > 0$ s.t. $(x-r, x+r) \subset E$. Thus $x \in \text{Int}(E) \therefore \text{Int}(E) \supseteq E$.

• $E \text{ is dense} \iff \text{int}(\mathbb{R} \setminus E) = \emptyset$

Suppose E is dense in \mathbb{R} . Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, $(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon) \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $x \notin \text{Int}(\mathbb{R} \setminus E)$ and $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R} \setminus E) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, suppose $\text{Int}(\mathbb{R} \setminus E) = \emptyset$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E$, since $x \notin \text{Int}(\mathbb{R} \setminus E)$, then $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon) \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Therefore E is dense in \mathbb{R} .

HW2

① Let $a = \underline{\lim} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \{a_k, k \geq n\}$.

First we prove that a is a cluster point of the sequence.
Consider three cases

Case 1 $a = -\infty$.

For $A < 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\inf \{a_k; k \geq n\} < A, \forall n \geq N$.

Hence $\forall n \geq N$, there exists $k_n \geq n$ s.t. $a_{k_n} \leq A$.

The subsequence $a_{k_n} \rightarrow -\infty$.

Case 2 $a = \infty$

For $A > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\inf \{a_k; k \geq n\} > A, \forall n \geq N$.

in particular $a_n \geq A$ for $n > N$. In this case

$a_n \rightarrow \infty$

Case 3 $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ s.t. $\forall n > N$ we have

$|\inf \{a_k, k \geq n\} - a| < \varepsilon$ equivalently

$a - \varepsilon < \inf \{a_k; k \geq n\} < a + \varepsilon, \forall n > N$

Since it follows that $a_k > a - \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq n$

and there exists $k_n \geq n$ s.t. $a_{k_n} < a + \varepsilon$.

Hence $a - \varepsilon < a_{k_n} < a + \varepsilon$

$a_{k_n} \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$a \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a cluster pt for the sequence.

Next, we need to show that if b is any cluster pt of $\{a_n\}$, then $a \leq b$.

If $b \neq a$, then there exists a subsequence $\{a_{n_j}\}_j$ s.t. $a_{n_j} \rightarrow b$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$\inf \{a_k, k \geq n_j\} \leq a_{n_j}$

if $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists J$ s.t. $a_{n_j} < b + \varepsilon$ for $j \geq J$

therefore $\inf \{a_k, k \geq n_j\} < b + \varepsilon$ for $j \geq J$

This implies that $a \leq b$.

② " \Rightarrow " Suppose that $a_n \rightarrow a$. Then every subsequence of $\{a_n\}$ converges to a and a is the only cluster point.

" \Leftarrow " By contradiction, suppose that $\{a_n\}$ is a divergent sequence, we need to show that it has more than one cluster point. Consider the case $\{a_n\}$ bounded.

Since $\{a_n\}$ diverges, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $k_n > n$ and $l_n > n$ such that

$$|a_{k_n} - a_{l_n}| > \varepsilon_0 \quad (\{a_n\} \text{ does not satisfy Cauchy criterion})$$

The subsequences $\{a_{k_n}\}$ and $\{a_{l_n}\}$ have cluster points α, β .

Now we verify that $\alpha \neq \beta$. There are subsequences

$\{a_{k_{n_j}}\}_j$ and $\{a_{l_{n_j}}\}_j$ of $\{a_{k_n}\}$ and $\{a_{l_n}\}$ such that

$$a_{k_{n_j}} \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad a_{l_{n_j}} \rightarrow \beta \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\exists J$ s.t. if $j > J$, then $|\alpha - a_{k_{n_j}}| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}$ and $|\beta - a_{l_{n_j}}| < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha - \beta| &= |(\alpha - a_{k_{n_j}}) + (a_{k_{n_j}} - a_{l_{n_j}}) + (a_{l_{n_j}} - \beta)| \\ &\geq |a_{k_{n_j}} - a_{l_{n_j}}| - |\alpha - a_{k_{n_j}}| - |\beta - a_{l_{n_j}}| > \varepsilon_0 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The case $\{a_n\}$ unbounded can be proved in a similar way.

⑥ For a real number y , denote by $[y]$ the largest integer $\leq y$.
 In particular, $[y]$ satisfies $y-1 < [y] \leq y$.

Let $x \in (0, 1)$. Define $a_1 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ by $a_1 = [px]$.

Then $px-1 < a_1 \leq px$. Hence

$$x_1 = px - a_1 \in (0, 1).$$

Repeat the construction for x_1 :

Let $a_2 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ given by $a_2 = [px_1]$.

Then $px_1 - 1 < a_2 \leq px_1$ and $x_2 = px_1 - a_2 \in (0, 1)$

Note that

$$x = \frac{a_1}{p} + \frac{x_1}{p} = \frac{a_1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{a_2}{p} + \frac{x_2}{p} \right)$$

$$x = \frac{a_1}{p} + \frac{a_2}{p^2} + \frac{x_2}{p^2}.$$

By induction, suppose that we have integers

$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $x_n \in (0, 1)$

such that

$$x = \frac{a_1}{p} + \frac{a_2}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{p^n} + \frac{x_n}{p^n}$$

Define $a_{n+1} = [px_n] \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. so that

$$x_{n+1} = px_n - a_{n+1} \in (0, 1). \text{ and } x_n = \frac{a_{n+1}}{p} + \frac{x_{n+1}}{p}$$

Hence

$$x = \frac{a_1}{p} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{p^n} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{p^{n+1}} + \frac{x_{n+1}}{p^{n+1}}$$

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{p^j} + \frac{x_n}{p^n}$$

We have then

$$0 \leq x - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{p^j} = \frac{x_n}{p^n} < \frac{1}{p^n}$$

Since $\frac{1}{p^n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{p^j}$$

Uniqueness: Suppose that $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{p^j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{p^j}$ and

that $\exists k$ such that $a_k \neq b_k$.

Let N be the smallest such k . Then $a_N \neq b_N$ and $a_j = b_j$ for $j < N$. We can assume $a_N > b_N \geq 0$.

It follows ~~that~~ (after canceling $\frac{a_j}{p^j} = \frac{b_j}{p^j}$ for $j < N$) that

$$\frac{a_N}{p^N} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{N+k}}{p^{N+k}} = \frac{b_N}{p^N} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{N+k}}{p^{N+k}}$$

and so

$$a_N - b_N = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{N+k} - a_{N+k}}{p^k}$$

Note that $a_N - b_N \geq 1$ and $|b_{N+k} - a_{N+k}| \leq p-1$

$$\text{Hence } \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{N+k} - a_{N+k}}{p^k} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{p^k} \leq 1 < a_N - b_N$$

$$\text{Therefore } a_N = b_N + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{N+k} - a_{N+k}}{p^k} = 1$$

Furthermore if there exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $a_{N+k_0} \neq 0$, then

$$b_{N+k_0} - a_{N+k_0} < p-1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{N+k} - a_{N+k}}{p^k} < 1 \quad \text{a contradiction}$$

Therefore $a_{N+k} = 0$, $\forall k \geq 1$. and then $b_{N+k} = p-1 \quad \forall k \geq 1$

$$\text{Hence } x = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{a_j}{p^j} = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j p^{N-j} \right)}{p^N} = \frac{q}{p^N}, \quad q < p^N$$

Also

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{b_j}{p^j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{p^{N+j}} = \frac{q-1}{p^N} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{p^{N+j}}$$

Conclusion: if $x \neq \frac{q}{p^N}$ for some $q < p^N$, then the decomposition is unique. If $x = \frac{q}{p^N}$, then x has two decompositions.

⑦ The set $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ is open. It can be written as a ~~finite~~ ^{countable} disjoint union of open intervals

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k)$$

with $(a_j, b_j) \cap (a_k, b_k) = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$.

• If a_k, b_k are finite, then $a_k, b_k \in E$ and define

$$g: (a_k, b_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ by}$$

$$g(x) = \frac{f(a_k) - f(b_k)}{a_k - b_k} (x - b_k) + f(b_k).$$

so that $g(a_k) = f(a_k)$ and $g(b_k) = f(b_k)$.

• If for some m we have $a_m = -\infty$ define

$$g: (-\infty, b_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ by } g(x) = f(b_m)$$

• If for some m we have $b_m = \infty$ define

$$g: (a_m, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ by } g(x) = f(a_m).$$

The function g thus defined is a continuous extension of f to \mathbb{R} .

⑧ Claim: The function f is continuous at 0 and at each irrational point but f is discontinuous at each nonzero rational number.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$

• Continuity of f at $x=0$. We have $f(0)=0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$
 if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $|x| < \varepsilon$, then $|f(x) - f(0)| = |x| < \varepsilon$
 If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, $|x| = \left| \frac{p}{q} \right| < \varepsilon$, then $|f(x) - f(0)| = |p| \sin \frac{1}{|q|} \leq \frac{|p|}{|q|} = |x| < \varepsilon$.

• Now we prove that if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then for every $A > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $r \in (x-\delta, x+\delta) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, then $r = \frac{p}{q}$, $q > 0$ with $q > A$

By contradiction suppose that there exists $A_0 > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $\frac{p_\delta}{q_\delta} \in (x-\delta, x+\delta)$, $p_\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_\delta \leq A_0$. Take $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$, then $\frac{p_n}{q_n} \in (x-\frac{1}{n}, x+\frac{1}{n})$ and $q_n \leq A_0$ for all n . It follows from

$$x - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{p_n}{q_n} < x + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{but} \quad |p_n| \leq \max(|x-1|, |x+1|) q_n$$

Consider the set $E = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ s.t. } q \leq A_0, |p| \leq \max(|x-1|, |x+1|) A_0 \right\}$
 E is a finite set. Hence.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists r_n \in E \text{ s.t. } |r_n - x| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

This together with the fact that E is finite implies that there exist $r \in E$ such that $|x - r| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all n . and $x = r$
 This a contradiction since x is irrational.

• f is discontinuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $x_0 \neq 0$. Write $x_0 = \frac{p_0}{q_0}$, $q_0 > 0$

We have $|f(x_0) - x_0| = |p_0| \left| \sin \frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{q_0} \right| = \varepsilon_0 > 0$
 and $f(x_0) < x_0$ if $x_0 > 0$; $f(x_0) > x_0$ if $x_0 < 0$

Let $\delta > 0$ arbitrary. If $x_0 > 0$ Let $x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta) \cap \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$
 and x irrational. Then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| = |x - f(x_0)| = |x - x_0 + x_0 - f(x_0)| > x_0 - f(x_0) = \varepsilon_0$$

If $x_0 < 0$, let $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0)$ and x_0 irrational
 then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| = |f(x_0) - x_0 + x_0 - x| > f(x_0) - x_0 = \varepsilon_0$.

We have proved, that $\forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ s.t.
 $|f(x) - f(x_0)| > \varepsilon_0 \therefore f$ discontinuous at x_0 .

• f is continuous at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Note that $f(x_0) = x_0$.
 Let $\varepsilon > 0$, we need to find $\delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$,
 then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$.

• If $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ and $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| = |x - x_0| < \delta$
 in this case $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ provided $\delta \leq \varepsilon$.

The challenge is to find δ when $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\text{Let } A > \sqrt{\frac{\max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|)}{3\varepsilon}} \text{ so that } \frac{1}{3A^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|)}$$

For such A , there exists $\delta_A > 0$ such that

whenever $\frac{p}{q} \in (x_0 - \delta_A, x_0 + \delta_A)$ we have $q > A$ ($p \in \mathbb{Z}, q \in \mathbb{N}$)
 and $|p| < \max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|) q$ (we can take $\delta_A < 1$)

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\delta < \min(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \delta_A)$

For $x = \frac{p}{q} \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, we have, $q > A$

and $|f(x) - f(x_0)| = |f(x) - x + x - x_0| \leq |f(x) - x| + |x - x_0| \leq |f(x) - x| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

$$\text{Since } |f(x) - x| = |p| \left| \sin \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{q} \right| \leq |p| \frac{1}{6q^3}$$

$$\leq \frac{\max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|) q}{6q^3} \leq \frac{\max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|)}{6A^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|)}{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\max(|x_0 - 1|, |x_0 + 1|)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Hence $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$.

This shows that if $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, then

$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ and f is continuous at x_0 .

(10) • A Lipschitz function is uniformly continuous

Suppose that $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz with constant $L > 0$.
Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}$, then for $x, y \in E$ with $|x - y| < \delta$
we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < L|x - y| < L\delta < \varepsilon \therefore f$ uniformly continuous

• There are uniformly continuous functions that are not Lipschitz.

Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$

f is continuous. Since $[0, 1]$ is closed and bounded, then f is uniformly continuous.

f is not Lipschitz. If f were Lipschitz with constant L
then we would have $|f(x) - f(0)| \leq L|x - 0|$. That is

$$\sqrt{x} \leq Lx \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

This would mean that $\sqrt{x} > \frac{1}{L} \quad \forall x \in (0, 1]$
a contradiction.

(11) Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $\varepsilon > 0$.

The function f is uniformly continuous. There exists $\delta > 0$
such that $\forall x, y \in [a, b]$, $|x - y| < \delta$, we have $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/2$

We can assume $\delta = \frac{2(b-a)}{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the subdivision of $[a, b]$ by points

$$x_0 = a, \quad x_1 = a + \frac{b-a}{n}, \quad \dots, \quad x_j = a + j \frac{b-a}{n}, \quad \dots, \quad x_n = b.$$

so that $x_{j+1} - x_j = \frac{b-a}{n} < \delta$ for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$

Define $\varphi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi(x) = \frac{f(x_{j+1}) - f(x_j)}{x_{j+1} - x_j} (x - x_j) + f(x_j)$

for $x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$. The function φ is piecewise linear

For any $x \in [a, b]$, there exists k , $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$
and so

$$|\varphi(x) - f(x)| \leq |\varphi(x) - f(x_k)| + |f(x_k) - f(x)|$$

$$\leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_k)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq |\varphi(x_{k+1}) - \varphi(x_k)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\leq |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

(19) Suppose that each function f_n of a sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ is defined on a set E and that the sequence converges uniformly to a function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that each f_n is continuous, we need to prove that f is also continuous on E .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. The uniform convergence of f_n implies that there exists $N > 0$ such that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, $\forall x \in E, n \geq N$. Let $n > N$ and $x_0 \in E$. The continuity of f_n at x_0 implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for } x \in E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta).$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &\leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is continuous at x_0 .