Metapopulation Dynamics

Metapopulations are of special interest to conservation biology because of their potential to buffer against the loss of individual small populations: a small population could persist indefinitely if regularly bolstered by immigrants from some outside location. Metapopulation dynamics have been long appreciated by population geneticists, but only more recently are of focal interest to ecologists studying abundance dynamics. In my lecture, I will attempt to tie together population genetic and ecological dynamics and evaluate their significance for small populations and conservation. I have prepared an eclectic list of references overlaying these two areas. I have not cited the classic papers like those of Levins for abundance or Wright for genetics, but you can chase those down in the papers that are cited. Finally, I have emphasized metapopulation dynamics of single species, but there is a growing interest in the role that it may play in species richness of communities. The Holt paper lays out five hypotheses for maintenance of species diversity at a **A**mesoscale@including metapopulation dynamics. This will show up again at the end of the semester when we study community regulation. I have also cited some landscape papers.

Chesser, R. K., M. H. Smith, and I. L. Brisbin, Jr. 1980. Management and maintenance of genetic variability in endangered species. Zoo Yearbook 20:146-154

Gilpin, M., and I. Hanski. 1991. Metapopulation Dynamics: Empirical and Theoretical Investigations. Academic Press, London

Gotelli, N. J. And W. G. Kelly. 1993. A general model of metapopulation dynamics. Oikos 68:36-44.

Gotelli, N. J. 1991. Metapopulation models: the rescue effect, the propagule rain, and the core-satelite hypothesis. American Naturalist 134:768-776

Hastings, A. and S. Harrison. 1994. Metapopulation dynamics and genetics. Ann. Rev. Ecol & Syst. 25:167-188

Hanski, I. 1982. Dynamics of regional distribution: the core and satellite species hypothesis. Oikos 38:210-221

Hanski. I. 1985. Single-species spatial dynamics may contribute to long-term rarity and commoness. Ecology 66:335-343

Hanski, I. 1998. Metapopulation dynamics. Nature 396:41-49

Harrison, S. 1991. Local extinction in a metapopulation context: an empirical evaluation. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 42:73-88

Holt, R. D. 1993. Ecology at the mesoscale: the influence of regional processes on local communities, pp 77-88. In: R. E. Ricklefs and D. Schluter (eds) Species Diversity in Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Pulliam, H. R., and B. J. Danielson. 1991. Sources, sinks, and habitat selection: a landscape perspective on population dynamics. American Naturalist 137:S50-S66

Pulliam, H. R., J. B. Dunning, Jr., and J. Liu. 1992. Population dynamics in complex landscapes: a case study. Ecological Applications 2:165-177

Rolstad, J. 1991. Consequences of forest fragmentation for the dynamics of bird populations: conceptual issues and the evidence. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 42:149-163

Simberloff, D. 1988. The contribution of population and community biology to conservation science. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 19:473-511

Verboom, J., A. Schotman, P. Opdam, and A. J. Metz. 1991. European nuthatch metapopulations in a fragmented agricultural landscape. Oikos 61:149-156