

### Homework #3

Problems 10.3.2, 6.1.2, 6.2.2, 13.4.2, and 13.5.2 are due on Thursday, February 23

10.3.2 Suppose utility on  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$  is defined by  $u(x) = (\min\{x_i/\alpha_i\})^\gamma$  where  $\gamma > 0$  and each  $\alpha_i > 0$ . Compute the Konüs true cost-of-living index for utility level  $\bar{u} > 0$  and  $p^0, p^1 > 0$ .

**Answer:** Expenditure is minimized by consuming the minimum required to attain utility  $\bar{u}$ , so  $x_i = \bar{u}^{1/\gamma} \alpha_i$ . It follows that  $e(p, \bar{u}) = \bar{u}^{1/\gamma} (p \cdot \alpha)$ .

The true cost-of-living index is then

$$\frac{e(p^1, \bar{u})}{e(p^0, \bar{u})} = \frac{\bar{u}^{1/\gamma} (p^1 \cdot \alpha)}{\bar{u}^{1/\gamma} (p^0 \cdot \alpha)} = \frac{p^1 \cdot \alpha}{p^0 \cdot \alpha}.$$

6.1.2 Suppose  $f(z) = (1 + e^5)/(1 + e^{-(z-5)}) - 1$ . Maximize profit.

**Answer:** We compute

$$f'(z) = \frac{e^{-(z-5)}(1 + e^5)}{(1 + e^{-(z-5)})^2} = \frac{1 + e^5}{(e^{(z-5)/2} + e^{-(z-5)/2})^2} > 0.$$

The first order conditions for profit maximization are  $f'(z) = w/p$ . It's clear that  $f'$  is bounded above. In fact, it is less than  $(1 + e^5)$ . If  $w/p$  is too large, profit cannot be maximized. So how big can  $f'$  be?

Profit is  $pf(z) - wz$ , and its second derivative is  $pf''$ . We compute  $f''$ .

$$f''(z) = \frac{-2(1 + e^5)}{(e^{(z-5)/2} + e^{-(z-5)/2})^3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} e^{(z-5)/2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-(z-5)/2} \right].$$

Now  $f'' > 0$  when  $z < 5$  and  $f'' < 0$  when  $z > 5$ . The profit curve is S-shaped with an inflection point at  $z = 5$ . That inflection point has negative profit. Maximum profit (if it exists) must lie to the right of the inflection point (with  $f'' < 0$ ).

We return to the first order conditions. Profit is  $pf(z) - wz$ . The first-order condition is  $pf'(z) = w$ . The second derivative of profit will only be satisfied if  $z > 5$  (if  $z < 5$  we have a local minimum of profit).

Now

$$p \frac{1 + e^5}{(e^{(z-5)/2} + e^{-(z-5)/2})^2} = w.$$

so

$$\frac{p(1 + e^5)}{4w} = \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{(z-5)/2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-(z-5)/2} \right)^2 = \cosh^2 \left( \frac{z-5}{2} \right)$$

where  $\cosh$  is the hyperbolic cosine. It follows that

$$z^* = 5 + 2 \cosh^{-1} \left( \frac{p(1 + e^5)}{4w} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

This only has a solution when  $p(1 + e^5) \geq 4w$  because  $\cosh \geq 1$ . Moreover, because  $\cosh(x) = \cosh(-x)$ , there are two inverse hyperbolic cosines, one positive and one negative. We need the positive one since  $z^* \geq 5$  by the second-order condition. It has formula  $\cosh^{-1}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ , so

$$z^* = 5 + 2 \ln \left( x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \right)$$

where  $x = \frac{p(1+e^5)}{4w}$ .

6.2.2 Consider a firm facing a capacity constraint  $\bar{Q}$  with production function  $f(z) = az$ ,  $a > 0$ . We can incorporate the capacity constraint into the production function by writing

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} az & \text{when } 0 \leq z \leq \bar{Q}/a \\ \bar{Q} & \text{when } \bar{Q}/a \leq z \end{cases}$$

Find the cost function  $c(w, q)$  and conditional factor demand  $z(w, q)$ .

**Answer:** When  $q \leq \bar{Q}$ ,  $f(z) \geq q$  whenever  $z \geq q/a$ . It follows that the minimum cost occurs when  $z^* = q/a$ . Then  $c(w, q) = wz^* = wq/a$ . When  $q > \bar{Q}$ , there are no  $z$  with  $f(z) \geq \bar{Q}$ , so  $c(w, q) = +\infty$ .

Thus

$$c(w, q) = \begin{cases} wq/a & \text{when } 0 \leq q \leq \bar{Q} \\ +\infty & \text{when } q > \bar{Q} \end{cases}$$

and

$$z(w, q) = \begin{cases} q/a & \text{when } 0 \leq q \leq \bar{Q} \\ \emptyset & \text{when } q > \bar{Q}. \end{cases}$$

13.4.2 Suppose there are two inputs and one output with the linear production function  $f(z_1, z_2) = 2z_1 + z_2$ . The output price is  $p > 0$  and the input prices are  $w_\ell > 0$ .

- a) Find all profit-maximizing net output vectors.
- b) Calculate the profit function.
- c) Show directly that the Law of Supply holds.

**Answer:**

a) Because this is a linear technology (CRS), maximum profit is either zero or infinite. To maximize profits, output must be  $q = 2z_1 + z_2$  with profit

$$p(2z_1 + z_2) - w_1z_1 - w_2z_2.$$

Profit will be infinite if either  $2p > w_1$  or  $p > w_2$ . We can also see that if both  $2p < w_1$  and  $p < w_2$ , only 0 maximizes profit.

Finally, if  $2p = w_1$  and  $p < w_2$ , any amount of input 1 is optimal while if  $p = w_2$  and  $p < w_1/2$ , any amount of input 2 is optimal. If both  $2p = w_1$  and  $p = w_2$ , then any  $(-z_1, -z_2, 2z_1 + z_2)$  with  $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  is a net output.

b) Based on the answer to (a), the profit function is

$$\pi(p) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } 2p > w_1 \text{ or } p > w_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } 2p \leq w_1 \text{ and } p \leq w_2. \end{cases}$$

c) Profit maximization is only possible when  $2p \leq w_1$  and  $p \leq w_2$ . In that case, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p \cdot \Delta y &= [2(p' - p) - w'_1 + w_1](z'_1 - z_1) \\ &\quad + [p' - p - w'_2 + w_2](z'_2 - z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  terms act independently. We will consider the  $w_1$  terms, but similar arguments apply to the  $w_2$  terms.

There are 4 cases for the  $w_1$  terms. (1) If  $2p' = w'_1$  and  $2p = w_1$ , the term is zero. (2) If  $2p' = w'_1$  and  $2p < w_1$ ,  $z_1 = 0$ , so we have  $(-2p + w_1)z'_1 \geq 0$ . (3) If  $2p' < w'_1$  and  $2p = w_1$ ,  $z'_1 = 0$  and we have  $(2p' - w'_1)(-z_1) \geq 0$ . (4) If  $2p' < w'_1$  and  $2p < w_1$ , then  $z'_1 = z_1 = 0$  and the term is zero.

13.5.2 Suppose production is described a linear activity model with basic activities  $\alpha^1 = (3, 2, 1, -1)^T$ ,  $\alpha^2 = (2, 2, 1, -1)^T$ ,  $\alpha^3 = (4, 0, -1, -1)^T$  and  $(0, 4, -1, -1)^T$ . Find all efficient net output vectors.

**Answer:** The first thing to note is that while  $\alpha^1$  and  $\alpha^2$  both use the same input,  $\alpha^1$  produces more output. This means that the use of  $\alpha^2$  is never efficient. None of the other activity vectors dominate one another.

We must consider non-negative linear combinations of the form  $z_1\alpha^1 + z_3\alpha^3 + z_4\alpha^4 = (3z_1 + 4z_3, 2z_1 + 4z_4, z_1 - z_3 - z_4, -z_1 - z_3 - z_4)$ . In fact, increasing any one of the  $z_i$  will decrease some component of the vector, so all choices with  $z_2 = 0$  and  $z_i \geq 0$  for  $i \neq 2$  are efficient.