


Analytical Methods

rsc.li/methods



ISSN 1759-9679



COMMUNICATION

Francisco Fernandez-Lima *et al.*
Detection of firearm discharge residue from skin swabs using trapped ion
mobility spectrometry coupled to mass spectrometry


COMMUNICATION

Cite this: *Anal. Methods*, 2018, 10, 4219Received 26th March 2018
Accepted 11th July 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ay00658j

rsc.li/methods

Detection of firearm discharge residue from skin swabs using trapped ion mobility spectrometry coupled to mass spectrometry†

Alan McKenzie-Coe,^a Suzanne Bell^b and Francisco Fernandez-Lima^c  *ac

In the present work, a novel workflow for the detection of both elemental and organic constituents of the firearm discharge residue from skin swabs was developed using trapped ion mobility spectrometry coupled to mass spectrometry. The small sample size (<10 μL), high specificity and short analysis time (few min) permits the detection of inorganic residues (IGSR; inorganic gunshot residues) and organic residues (OGSR) from one sample and in a single analysis. The analytical method is based on the simultaneous extraction of inorganic and organic species assisted by the formation organometallic complexes (e.g., 15–5 crown ethers for the sequestering of metals and nitrate species), followed by fast, post-ionization, high resolution mobility ($R_{\text{IMS}} \sim 150\text{--}250$) and mass separations ($R_{\text{MS}} \sim 20\text{--}40\text{k}$) with isotopic pattern recognition. The analytical performance is illustrated as a proof of concept for the case of the simultaneous detection of Ba^{+2} , Pb^{+2} , Cu^{+} , K^{+} , NO_3^{-} , diphenylamine (DPA), ethyl centralite (EC) and 2,4 dinitrotoluene (DNT) in positive and negative nESI-TIMS-MS modes. Candidate structures are proposed and collisional cross sections are reported for all organic and organometallic species of interest.

Introduction

The discharge of a firearm provides a chemically rich and complex assortment of molecules, typically classified into organic and inorganic gunshot residues (O- and IGSRs), collectively referred to as firearms discharge residue (FDR). FDR is not only composed of species and particulates from the starting material (e.g., primer, propellant, cartridge, projectile jacket, gun barrel and lubricants) but also from combustion

and transformation byproducts.¹ The analysis of these species and particulates is pivotal in providing evidence in criminal cases hence much effort has been exerted in developing techniques to collect, identify, and detect them.

Current analytical methods are compartmentalized for the detection of OGSR or GSR.^{1,2} GSR particulates have been traditionally analyzed by scanning electron microscopy electron dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS).³ Alternatively, micro-beam ion beam analysis (e.g., *m*-PIXE) can provide elemental quantitative and more sensitive determination of “characteristic” GSR species.⁴ OGSR detection typically includes various pre-separation and extraction techniques (e.g., gas chromatography, liquid chromatography, and solid-phase micro-extraction) followed by their detection (e.g., electron capture detection, ultraviolet and fluorescence detection, thermal energy analysis, ion mobility, and mass spectrometry). When using multiple assays and complementary techniques (e.g., ATR-FTIR,⁵ micro-Raman combined with laser ablation ICP-MS,^{6,7} LIBS/ICP-OES and GC/ μ -ECD and GC/MS,^{8,9} SEM/EDS and LC-MS/MS,^{10–12} SEM/EDS and IBA/ μ PIXE^{4,13} and TOF-SIMS^{14–18}), several reports have shown higher confidence and the need for simultaneous detection of GSR and OGSRs. Recently, we reported on the advantages of high resolution, ion beam-based mass spectrometry imaging combining secondary electron and secondary ion images in order to characterize the firearm discharge from skin swabs based on the morphology and composition of the collected species (i.e., particulates and organic compounds) in a single analysis.¹⁹

In the current work, we propose as a proof of concept an alternative, facile, high throughput method based on the analysis of skin swab samples for both inorganic and organic species using electrospray trapped ion mobility mass spectrometry (ESI-TIMS-MS). The proposed method is based on the simultaneous extraction of inorganic and organic species assisted by the formation organometallic complexes (e.g., 15–5 crown ethers for the sequestering of metals and nitrate species), followed by fast, post-ionization, high resolution mobility and mass separations. A key feature is the use of nano-ESI to

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA. E-mail: fernandf@fiu.edu

^bDepartment of Forensic and Investigative Sciences, West Virginia University, 1600 University Avenue, Oglebay Hall Room 208, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

^cBiomolecular Science Institute, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Scheme of the TIMS cell. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ay00658j

optimize the transfer of material into the gas phase and utilize small sample volumes (*e.g.*, tens of microliters) for higher sensitivity.

Experimental section

Materials and reagents

All metal stock standards were single element ICP-MS standards purchased from ULTRA Scientific® (N. Kingstown, Rhode Island) with the exception of potassium which was purchased from SPEX CertiPrep® (Metuchen, New Jersey). 15-crown-5 at 98% purity was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich® (St. Louis, Missouri). Antimony, barium, copper, and lead stock standard solutions were at a concentration of 10 000 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ in water with dilute nitric acid, while potassium was at 1000 mg L^{-1} in 2% nitric acid. The metal charge state in solution was defined by the salt used. A 12 056 ppm stock solution of 15-crown-5 was prepared in HPLC grade methanol (Fisher Chemical, Fair Lawn, New Jersey). The metal stock standards and methanol were used without further purification. The stock metal and crown ether solutions were diluted to working solutions of 3.0×10^{-3} M each in methanol. Any additional dilutions were also prepared in the HPLC grade methanol. Other solvents used were acetonitrile and ethyl acetate, LC/MS grade and certified ACS respectively (Fisher Chemical, Fair Lawn, New Jersey). Stock standard solutions of diphenylamine (DPA), ethyl centralite (EC), and 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT) were prepared at approximately 10 mg mL^{-1} from analytical grade solids in methanol (0.2 micron filtered) purchased from Fisher Scientific®.

The sampling media used, CapSure® (Boston, Massachusetts) VP (low particulate clean room wipe), was obtained from Berkshire® (Boston, Massachusetts). The media is 100% knitted polyester and originally 23 cm \times 23 cm in size. To allow for easy handling while sampling the media was cut to approximately 4.0 cm \times 1.5 cm. The swabs were pre-conditioned prior to use by placing and storing them in a glass jar in a laboratory oven at approximately 80 °C. Collection of control shooting samples was accomplished by firing 3 shots from a Smith and Wesson 0.38 revolver firearm then wiping both the left and right hands of the shooter with a pre-wetted swab (WVU IRB protocol #1209000337). The swabs were pre-wet with \sim 1 mL of isopropyl alcohol prior to thorough wiping of the top and palm of the hand and the crease between the index finger and thumb. Both hands were sampled on the same swab which was then placed in a glass tube, capped, and labeled. Swab samples were expose to CE based extraction for the inorganic content and organic extraction for the organic content.

nESI-TIMS-MS analysis

A custom nESI-TIMS unit coupled to an Impact Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, Massachusetts) was used for all the experiments.^{20–22} The TIMS unit is controlled by custom software in LabView (National Instruments) synchronized with the MS platform controls.²⁰ Sample aliquots (<10 μL) of the

extracted material in the low micromolar range (\sim 1–15 μM) were loaded in a pulled-tip capillary biased at 700–1200 V to the MS inlet. TIMS separation depends on the gas flow velocity (v_g), elution voltage (V_{elution}), ramp time (t_{ramp}) and base voltage (V_{out}).^{21,23} The mobility, K , is defined by:

$$K = \frac{v_g}{E} \cong \frac{A}{(V_{\text{elution}} - V_{\text{out}})} \quad (1)$$

The mobility calibration constant A was determined using known reduced mobilities of Agilent Tuning Mix (Santa Clara, California) components (K_0 of 1.376, 1.013, and 0.835 $\text{cm}^2 (\text{V s})^{-1}$ for respective m/z 322, 622, and 922) as described in ref. 22. The buffer gas was N_2 at ambient temperature (T) with v_g set by the pressure difference between the funnel entrance ($P1 = 2.6$ mbar) and exit ($P2 = 1.1$ mbar). An rf voltage of 230 V_{pp} at 2080 kHz was applied to all electrodes. Ions were softly transferred and injected into the TIMS analyser section injection to avoid collisional induced activation (see TIMS schematics in Fig. S1†). Peak fronting in the CCS profiles (or tailing in the scan domain) was observed at higher masses was due to poor transmission in the collision cells since the instrument was tuned for low mass ions. A typical analysis consisted of 2000 IMS-MS spectra, divided in 100 accumulations in 20 frames (*i.e.*, \sim 3–15 min depending on the t_{trap}). The measured mobilities were converted into collision cross sections (CCS, \AA^2) using the Mason-Schamp equation:

$$\Omega = \frac{(18\pi)^{1/2}}{16} \frac{q}{(k_B T)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{M} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{1}{K} \quad (2)$$

where q is the ion charge, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, N is the gas number density, m is the ion mass, and M is the gas molecule mass.²³

Theoretical section

Candidate structures were proposed for the organic and organometallic species observed from the skin swabs. Several initial guess structures were proposed for the crown ether (CE) and metal (Me) complexes, but they mostly converged to ones described here; other initial guesses attempts did not converge. The candidate structures were optimized at DFT/B3LYP/6-31+g(d) level of theory using the electrostatic potential (ESP) for all metals of the organometallic complexes except for potassium using the Gaussian 09 package.²⁴ Partial atomic charges were calculated using the Merz-Singh-Kollman scheme constrained to the molecular dipole moment.^{25,26} Theoretical ion-neutral collision cross-sections (CCS) were calculated using IMoS^{27–29} packages with the trajectory method and the diffuse hard sphere scattering (DHSS) method. In the case of DHSS, a temperature of 304 K, a pressure of 101 325 Pa, 3 rotations, and 3 million collisions with N_2 gas molecules were considered; an accommodation (percentage of non-elastic collisions) of 0.70 yielded the best results when compared with the experimental CCS. Details on the optimized geometries can be found in the ESI.†




Fig. 1 Typical 2D-IMS-MS contour plots obtained in positive (a) and negative (b) ion modes.




Fig. 2 TIMS mobility profiles with theoretical structure and mass spectrum as an inset for observed inorganic gunshot residue (a–f) and organic gunshot residue (g–i).

Results and discussion

The analysis of the skin swab extractions using nESI-TIMS-MS resulted in the observation of multiple single and double charged species (see Fig. 1). Closer inspection of Fig. 1 permitted the identification of a series of organometallic and organic species simultaneously. In positive ion mode, all the organometallic species were detected, while organic species are detected in positive or negative mode depending on the functional groups of the molecule of interest. The high mass resolution permitted the identification of the metals based on their isotopic pattern and the high mobility separation provided reduced chemical noise and higher peak capacity (see Fig. 2 and Table 1). That is, from a single analysis, both organic and organometallic species are detected. One of the advantage of gas-phase, post-ionization separations using TIMS-MS is that a single acquisition takes typically 100 ms (up to 500 ms for the highest mobility resolution $R_{\text{TIMS}} \sim 250$), with a total analysis time in the order of few minutes.

The search for organic gunshot residue revealed the presence of diphenylamine and ethyl centralite in positive ion mode as protonated and sodiated species, respectively (Fig. 1 and 2). These two compounds are commonly used as stabilizers in smokeless gunpowder. Analysis of the mobility profile showed a single IMS bands for both compounds, which makes them easily identifiable in the IMS-MS domain. In addition, other potential organic components can be observed in negative ion mode as deprotonated species. To illustrate the potential of the proposed nESI-TIMS-MS workflow for their detection a typical IMS and MS profiles of a dinitrotoluene (DNT) standard are shown in Fig. 2. DNT is observed in the $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ form and is commonly used as a plasticizer and burn rate modifier in smokeless gun powder and propellants. A good agreement is observed between the experimental and theoretical CCS of the proposed candidate structures (Fig. 2).

The organometallic species composed of the 15–5 crown ether (CE) and a metal (Me) had the general form: $[\text{CE} + \text{Me}]^{+1}$ with Me = Cu; $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + \text{NO}_3]^{+1}$ with Me = Ba and Pb; $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + \text{CE}]^{+1}$ with Me = K; $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + \text{CE}]^{+2}$ with Me = Ba and Pb; and $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + (\text{NO}_3)_3 + \text{Me} + \text{CE}]^{+1}$ with Me = Ba and Pb. A single mobility band was observed for all organometallic species, which makes them easily identifiable in the IMS-MS domain. Notice that this approach using organometallic complexes provides similar chemical signatures as those obtained using traditional particulate GSR detection with SEM/EDX.³

Candidate structures proposed for all the organometallic species provide insights of the coordination of the CE with the Me and with the nitrate group (see Fig. 2). The pocket size of 15–5 and the ionic radius of the metal determines the observation of $[\text{CE} + \text{Me}]^{+1}$ and $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + \text{CE}]^{+1}$ species. For example, $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + \text{CE}]^{+1}$ species were observed for Me = K and not for Cu. This observation does not stem from the oxidation state of the metal, but from their ionic radius. Copper, with a smaller ionic radius, resides deeper within the pocket of the 15–5 CE (Fig. 2a); the copper ion is essentially coplanar with the atoms which make up the CE pocket, thus shielding the copper ion from potential coordination with a second crown ether. The proposed structures are in good agreement with previously reported X-ray structures of $[\text{CE} + \text{Me} + \text{NO}_3]^{+1}$.³⁰

Conclusions

The analytical power of TIMS-MS for the fast separation and identification of compounds from a complex mixture was used for the detection of organometallic and organic species from skin swabs of firearms gunshot residues. The use of 15–5 crown ether allowed the complexation of a variety of metals and nitrate (Me = Ba⁺², Pb⁺², Cu⁺, K⁺, and NO₃⁻), that are traditionally used as fingerprints of the gunshot residue. In addition, the observation of organic compounds adds selectivity to the workflow by

Table 1 Comparison of theoretical and experimental CCS for organic and inorganic gunshot residue

Organic gunshot residue					
Compound	Molecular ion	Theoretical m/z	Observed m/z	Experimental CCS	Theoretical CCS
Diphenylamine	$[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$	170.096	170.097	149.9	139.6 ^b
Ethyl centralite	$[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$	291.146	291.141	167.3	171.5 ^b
Dinitrotoluene	$[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$	181.025	181.025	140.8	127.4 ^b

^a Diffuse Hard Sphere Scattering (DHSS). ^b Trajectory Method (TM).

Inorganic gunshot residue					
Ion species	Ionic radius of metal (pm)	Theoretical m/z	Observed m/z	Experimental CCS	Theoretical CCS
$[\text{CE} + \text{Ba} + \text{NO}_3]^{+1}$	1.49	420.023	420.023	167.4	163.6 ^a
$[\text{CE} + \text{Pb} + \text{NO}_3]^{+1}$	1.33	490.095	490.095	159.2	163.2 ^a
$[\text{CE} + \text{Cu}]^+$	0.91	283.060	283.060	140.8	147.4 ^a
$[\text{CE} + \text{Ba} + \text{CE}]^{+2}$	1.49	289.083	289.084	231.3	224.5 ^b
$[\text{CE} + \text{Pb} + \text{CE}]^{+2}$	1.33	324.119	324.118	229.7	222.3 ^b
$[\text{CE} + \text{K} + \text{CE}]^+$	1.52	479.225	479.225	186.1	180.7 ^b

identification of organic gunshot residues (*e.g.*, diphenylamine, ethyl centralite, and dinitrotoluene). The high mass resolution allowed the clear identification of the compounds based on their mass accuracy and isotopic pattern. Theoretical calculations provided candidate structures for all species observed.

Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSF CAREER (CHE-1654274), with co-funding from the Division of Molecular and Cellular Biosciences to FFL. We will like to acknowledge Dr Mark E. Ridgeway and Dr Melvin A. Park support during the development and installation of the custom nESI-TIMS-MS instruments. Work by the WVU researchers was supported by a grant from the US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), award number 70NANB16H104.

References

- 1 O. Dalby, D. Butler and J. W. Birkett, Analysis of Gunshot Residue and Associated Materials – A Review, *J. Forensic Sci.*, 2010, **55**, 924–943.
- 2 R. V. Taudte, A. Beavis, L. Blanes, N. Cole, P. Doble and C. Roux, Detection of Gunshot Residues Using Mass Spectrometry, *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2014, **2014**, 965403.
- 3 Subcommittee E30.01 on Criminalistics and A. International, in *Standard Guide for Gunshot Residue Analysis by Scanning Electron Microscopy/Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry*, ASTM International, East Conshohocken, PA, 2010.
- 4 F. S. Romolo, M. E. Christopher, M. Donghi, L. Ripani, C. Jeynes, R. P. Webb, N. I. Ward, K. J. Kirkby and M. J. Bailey, Integrated Ion Beam Analysis (IBA) in Gunshot Residue (GSR) characterisation, *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 2013, **231**, 219–228.
- 5 J. Bueno and I. K. Lednev, Attenuated Total Reflectance-FT-IR Imaging for Rapid and Automated Detection of Gunshot Residue, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 3389–3396.
- 6 Z. Abrego, N. Grijalba, N. Unceta, M. Maguregui, A. Sanchez, A. Fernandez-Isla, M. Aranzazu Goicolea and R. J. Barrio, A novel method for the identification of inorganic and organic gunshot residue particles of lead-free ammunitions from the hands of shooters using scanning laser ablation-ICPMS and Raman micro-spectroscopy, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 6232–6241.
- 7 J. C. D. Freitas, J. E. S. Sarkis, O. N. Neto and S. B. Viebig, Identification of Gunshot Residues in Fabric Targets Using Sector Field Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry Technique and Ternary Graphs, *J. Forensic Sci.*, 2012, **57**, 503–508.
- 8 A. Tarifa and J. R. Almirall, Fast detection and characterization of organic and inorganic gunshot residues on the hands of suspects by CMV-GC-MS and LIBS, *Science & Justice, J. Forensic Sci. Soc.*, 2015, **55**, 168–175.
- 9 C. Weyermann, V. Belaud, F. Riva and F. S. Romolo, Analysis of organic volatile residues in 9 mm spent cartridges, *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 2009, **186**, 29–35.
- 10 J. L. Thomas, D. Lincoln and B. R. McCord, Separation and Detection of Smokeless Powder Additives by Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (UPLC/MS/MS), *J. Forensic Sci.*, 2013, **58**, 609–615.
- 11 D. Laza, B. Nys, J. D. Kinder, A. Kirsch-De Mesmaeker and C. Moucheron, Development of a Quantitative LC-MS/MS Method for the Analysis of Common Propellant Powder Stabilizers in Gunshot Residue, *J. Forensic Sci.*, 2007, **52**, 842–850.
- 12 S. Benito, Z. Abrego, A. Sánchez, N. Unceta, M. A. Goicolea and R. J. Barrio, Characterization of organic gunshot residues in lead-free ammunition using a new sample collection device for liquid chromatography–quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry, *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 2015, **246**, 79–85.
- 13 M. E. Christopher, J.-W. Warmenhoeven, F. S. Romolo, M. Donghi, R. P. Webb, C. Jeynes, N. I. Ward, K. J. Kirkby and M. J. Bailey, A new quantitative method for gunshot residue analysis by ion beam analysis, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 4649–4655.
- 14 J. Coumbaros, K. P. Kirkbride, G. Klass and W. Skinner, Characterisation of 0.22 caliber rimfire gunshot residues by time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS): a preliminary study, *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 2001, **119**, 72–81.
- 15 C. M. Mahoney, G. Gillen and A. J. Fahey, Characterization of gunpowder samples using time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS), *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 2006, **158**, 39–51.
- 16 M. I. Szyrkowska, A. Parczewski, K. Szajdak and J. Rogowski, Examination of gunshot residues transfer using ToF-SIMS, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2013, **45**, 596–600.
- 17 M. I. Szyrkowska, K. Czerski, J. Rogowski, T. Paryjczak and A. Parczewski, Detection of exogenous contaminants of fingerprints using ToF-SIMS, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2010, **42**, 393–397.
- 18 M. I. Szyrkowska, K. Czerski, J. Grams, T. Paryjczak and A. Parczewski, Preliminary studies using imaging mass spectrometry TOF-SIMS in detection and analysis of fingerprints, *Imag. Sci. J.*, 2007, **55**, 180–187.
- 19 A. Castellanos, S. Bell and F. Fernandez-Lima, Characterization of firearm discharge residues recovered from skin swabs using sub-micrometric mass spectrometry imaging, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 4300–4305.

- 20 F. A. Fernandez-Lima, D. A. Kaplan and M. A. Park, Note: Integration of trapped ion mobility spectrometry with mass spectrometry, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2011, **82**, 126106.
- 21 F. A. Fernandez-Lima, D. A. Kaplan, J. Suetering and M. A. Park, Gas-phase separation using a Trapped Ion Mobility Spectrometer, *Int. J. Ion Mobility Spectrom.*, 2011, **14**, 93–98.
- 22 D. R. Hernandez, J. D. DeBord, M. E. Ridgeway, D. A. Kaplan, M. A. Park and F. Fernandez-Lima, Ion dynamics in a trapped ion mobility spectrometer, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 1913–1921.
- 23 E. W. McDaniel and E. A. Mason, *Mobility and diffusion of ions in gases*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1973.
- 24 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. J. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09*, in, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 25 U. C. Singh and P. A. Kollman, An approach to computing electrostatic charges for molecules, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1984, **5**, 129–145.
- 26 B. H. Besler, K. M. Merz and P. A. Kollman, Atomic charges derived from semiempirical methods, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1990, **11**, 431–439.
- 27 C. Larriba and C. J. Hogan, Free molecular collision cross section calculation methods for nanoparticles and complex ions with energy accommodation, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 2013, **251**, 344–363.
- 28 C. Larriba and C. J. Hogan, Ion Mobilities in Diatomic Gases: Measurement *versus* Prediction with Non-Specular Scattering Models, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 2013, **117**, 3887–3901.
- 29 C. Larriba-Andaluz, J. Fernández-García, M. A. Ewing, C. J. Hogan and D. E. Clemmer, Gas molecule scattering & ion mobility measurements for organic macro-ions in He *versus* N₂ environments, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 15019–15029.
- 30 P. C. Junk and J. W. Steed, Crown ether chemistry of the alkaline earth nitrates, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 407–414.