

Answer all 6 questions. Provide all reasoning and show all working. An unjustified answer will receive little or no credit. Begin each question on a separate page.

- (15) 1. Let $\langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be an indexed family of subsets of the set X . Prove that

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i) = X - \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right).$$

- (15) 2 Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and suppose that $A, B \subseteq X$ and $C, D \subseteq Y$.
- (a) Is it always true that $f[A] \cap f[B] \subseteq f[A \cap B]$?
- (b) Is it always true that $f^{-1}[C] \cap f^{-1}[D] \subseteq f^{-1}[C \cap D]$?

- (20) 3 (a) Write down the *Separation and Replacement axioms* in both their ordinary form and in class-form.
- (b) Write down the *Union and Foundation axioms* in their ordinary form. Then translate them completely into the language of set theory.

- (15) 4 (a) Using the fact that $R = \{x: x \notin x\}$ is not a set, prove that $V = \{x: x = x\}$ is not a set.
- (b) Prove that the collection S_2 of all sets with exactly two element is a proper class.

- (15) 5 (a) Define what is a *minimal element* and what is a *minimum element* of a partially ordered set $(A, <)$.
- (b) Define what it means for $(A, <)$ to be *well-founded*. Using the Foundation Axiom prove that there is no set x such that $x \in x$.

- (20) 6 (a) Define *ordinal addition* and *ordinal multiplication*.
- (b) Prove that if $\beta < \gamma$, then $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$ for all ordinals α, β, γ by transfinite induction.
- (c) Hence show that if $\alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma$, then $\beta = \gamma$.

1. Let $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i)$. Then $a \in (X - A_{i_0})$ for at least one $i_0 \in I$. So $a \in X$ and $a \notin A_{i_0}$. Since $a \notin A_{i_0}$, $a \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. Hence $a \in X - \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. $\therefore \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i) \subseteq X - \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$.

Now let $a \in X - \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. Then $a \in X$ and $a \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$. So $a \in X$ and $a \notin A_{i_0}$ for at least one $i_0 \in I$. Hence $a \in (X - A_{i_0})$. So $a \in \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i)$. $\therefore X - \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i)$.
Thus $\bigcup_{i \in I} (X - A_i) = X - \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right)$.

2(a) NO. Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$; $f(z) = |z|$. Take $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $B = \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}$. Then $A \cap B = \{0\}$ and $f[A] \cap f[B] = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \cap \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ while $f[A \cap B] = \{f(0)\} = \{0\} \neq f[A] \cap f[B]$.

(b) YES. Let $x \in f^{-1}[C] \cap f^{-1}[D]$. Then $x \in f^{-1}[C]$ and $x \in f^{-1}[D]$. So $f(x) \in C$ and $f(x) \in D$. $\therefore f(x) \in C \cap D$. $\therefore x \in f^{-1}[C \cap D]$. So $f^{-1}[C] \cap f^{-1}[D] \subseteq f^{-1}[C \cap D]$ always.

3(a) Separation Axiom: If $\varphi(x)$ is any formula of L.O.S.T. with one free variable x and A is any set, then $\{x \in A: \varphi(x)\}$ is a set. (Class-form): If \mathcal{C} is a class and A is a set, then $\mathcal{C} \cap A$ is a set.

Replacement Axiom: If $\varphi(x, y)$ is any function-type formula of L.O.S.T. and A is a set, then $\{b: \varphi(a, b) \text{ holds for at least one } b \text{ in } A\}$ is a set. (Class-form): If \mathcal{F} is a class-function and A is a set, the $\mathcal{F}[A]$ is a set.

3(b) Union Axiom: If A is a set, then $\cup A$ is a set, i.e., for any set A there exists a set B such that for all $b, b \in B$ if and only if there is a a with $b \in a$ and $a \in A$.

$$(\forall x_1) (\exists x_2) (\forall x_3) (x_3 \in x_2 \leftrightarrow (\exists x_4) (x_3 \in x_4 \wedge x_4 \in A))$$

Foundation Axiom: If A is a non-empty set, then A has a minimal element w.r.t. " \in ", i.e., for any set A , if $A \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a set a such that $a \in A$ and $a \cap A = \emptyset$.

$$(\forall x_1) ((\exists x_2) (x_2 \in x_1) \rightarrow (\exists x_3) (x_3 \in x_1 \wedge (\forall x_4) \neg (x_4 \in x_3 \wedge x_4 \in x_1)))$$

4(a) Suppose V was a set. Then $\{x \in V : x \notin x\}$ would be a set by the Separation Axiom. But $\{x \in V : x \notin x\} = \{x : x = x \wedge x \notin x\} = \{x : x \notin x\} = R$ which is not a set. So we have a contradiction. $\therefore V$ is not a set.

(b) First, let us show that S_2 is a class. We have

$$S_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\} : x_1 \in V, x_2 \in V \text{ and } x_1 \neq x_2\}$$

$$= \{x_3 : \varphi(x_3) \text{ holds}\} \text{ where } \varphi(x_3) \text{ is the formula}$$

$$(\exists x_1) (\exists x_2) ((x_1 \in x_3 \wedge x_2 \in x_3) \wedge \neg(x_1 = x_2) \wedge$$

$$(\forall x_4) (x_4 \in x_3 \rightarrow (x_4 = x_1 \vee x_4 = x_2)))$$

Hence S_2 is a class because $\varphi(x_3)$ is a formula of LOST

Now suppose S_2 was a set. Then by the union Axiom, $\cup S_2$ would also be a set. But

$$\cup S_2 = \cup \{\{x_1, x_2\} : x_1 \neq x_2 \text{ and } x_1, x_2 \in V\}$$

$$= \{x_1 : x_1 \in V\} \cup \{x_2 : x_2 \in V\} = V \cup V = V$$

But we know by part (a) that V is not a set, so we have a contradiction. Hence S_2 is not a set.

So S_2 is a proper class.

5(a) A minimal element of $(A, <)$ is any element $b \in A$ such that there is no $c \in A$ with $c < b$. A minimum (or smallest) element of $(A, <)$ is any element $b \in A$ such that $c < b$ for all $c \in A - \{b\}$.

(b) The partially ordered set $(A, <)$ is well-founded if every non-empty subset B of A has a minimal element.

Suppose x is a set with $x \in x$. Let $A = \{x\}$. Then A is a non-empty set. So by the Foundation Axiom, (A, \in) has a minimal element, i.e., $\exists a \in A$ such that $a \cap A = \emptyset$. Since A has only one element a must be x . But $x \in x$ and $x \in A$, so $x \in x \cap A$. Hence $x \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and so A has no minimal element, a contradiction. Hence there is no set x with $x \in x$.

6(a) Ordinal addition and multiplication are defined by transfinite recursion on β as follows:

$$(i) \quad \alpha + 0 = \alpha, \quad \alpha + (\beta + 1) = (\alpha + \beta) + 1, \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha + \lambda = \sup\{\alpha + \beta : \beta < \lambda\}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha \cdot 0 = 0, \quad \alpha \cdot (\beta + 1) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) + \alpha \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha \cdot \lambda = \sup\{\alpha \cdot \beta : \beta < \lambda\}.$$

(b) We will prove that $\beta < \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$ by transfinite induction on γ . If $\gamma = 0$, then there is no β with $\beta < \gamma$, so the result is vacuously true.

If $\gamma = 1$, then β must be 0. Now $\alpha + 0 = \alpha < \alpha + 1$. So $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$. Hence the result is true for $\gamma = 1$.

6(b) Suppose the result is true for γ . Let $\beta < \gamma + 1$.
 Then $\beta < \gamma$ or $\beta = \gamma$. Now if $\beta < \gamma$, then
 $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$ bec. result is true for γ
 $< (\alpha + \gamma) + 1$ bec. $\delta < \delta + 1$ for any δ .
 $= \alpha + (\gamma + 1)$ by the definition of ord. "+"
 And if $\beta = \gamma$, then $\alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma < \alpha + (\gamma + 1)$.
 So if the result is true for γ , it follows for $\gamma + 1$.

Finally suppose the result is for all $\gamma < \lambda$ with λ a lim. ord.
 Let $\beta < \lambda$. Then $\beta < \gamma_0$ for some $\gamma_0 < \lambda$ because
 λ is a limit ordinal. So

$$\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma_0 \quad \text{bec. result is true for } \gamma_0$$

$$\leq \sup \{ \alpha + \gamma : \gamma < \lambda \} = \alpha + \lambda$$

So if the result is true for all γ with $\gamma < \lambda$,
 then it follows for λ .

By the Transfinite Induction Principle it follows that
 the result is true for all γ .

(c) Assume that $\alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma$.

Now if $\beta < \gamma$, then $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$ by part (b)

And if $\gamma < \beta$, then $\alpha + \gamma < \alpha + \beta$ by part (b) also.

So the only way for us to have $\alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma$ is
 to have $\beta = \gamma$.