33. A subset S of a well-ordered set X is called a segment if

$$S = \{ x \in X : x < y \}$$

for some y ϵ X or S = X. Show that a union of segments is again a segment.

Proof. Suppose σ is a collection of segments of X. If σ is empty, then $\cup \sigma = \emptyset = \{ x \in X : x < y_0 \}$, where y_0 is the least element of X under the well-ordering. Likewise, if $\cup \sigma = X$, we have nothing to do. Thus, we may consider the case where $X \sim \cup \sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $\cup \sigma$ is non-empty. In this case, none of the segments in σ may be X, and consequently, there must be a non-empty subset E of X such that $\sigma = \{ \{ x \in X : x < y \} : y \in E \}$. Let y_0 be the least element of the non-empty set $X \sim \cup \sigma$. We claim now that

 $\cup \sigma = \{ x \epsilon X : x < y_0 \}.$

First, $X \sim \cup \sigma = \cap \{ X \sim \{ x \in X : x < y \} : y \in E \}$ from our friendly De Morgan's laws. A routine argument using this reveals that we must have $\{ x \in X : x \ge y_0 \} \subset X \sim \cup \sigma$. Thus,

 $\cup \sigma \subset \{ x \epsilon X : x < y_0 \}.$

The containment cannot be proper, for otherwise, we have a contradition to y_0 being the least element of $X \sim \Box \sigma$. Thus, we must have equality, and we are finished.