Read Me First: Show all essential work very neatly. Use correct notation when presenting your computations. Write using complete sentences. Remember this: "=" denotes "equals", "⇒" denotes "implies", and "⇔" denotes "is equivalent to". Vector objects must be denoted by using arrows. Since a correct answer really consists of all the magic transformations, do not "box" your final results. Show me all the magic on the page clearly.

Silly 10 Point Bonus: Plainly,

 $\begin{cases} x = 3 + \cos(t) \\ y = -4 + \sin(t) , t \in [0, 2\pi] \end{cases}$

and

$$\begin{cases} \arccos(x-3) = t \\ y = -4 + \sin(\arccos(x-3)) \end{cases}$$

are not equivalent. How must the second parameterization be altered so as to yield the same curve as that defined by the first parameterization?? [Say where your work is, for it won't fit here.]

The key observation regarding the second parameterization is that the range of \cos^{-1} restricts t to the interval $[0,\pi]$ so that only the top half of the circle defined by

$$(x - 3)^{2} + (y + 4)^{2} = 1$$

gets traced counter-clockwise as t runs from 0 to π . What the second parameterization needs is the following missing piece to continue around the circle as t goes from π to 2π :

$$\begin{cases} \arccos(x-3) = 2\pi - t \\ y = -4 + \sin(2\pi - \arccos(x-3)) \text{ for } t \in [\pi, 2\pi]. \end{cases}$$

Where did the " $2\pi - t$ " come from?? Play with the pieces!! Check $t = \pi$, $t = 3\pi/2$, and $t = 2\pi$. Eliminate the parameter t from each "piece". Think. Here is is the second parameterization properly done:

```
 arccos(x-3) = t 
y = -4 + sin(arccos(x-3)) for t \in [0,\pi] 
arccos(x-3) = 2\pi - t 
y = -4 + sin(2\pi - arccos(x-3)) for t \in (\pi, 2\pi].
```

Of course there are correct equivalent alternatives to this.